

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

## Authors' Response to Letter From 4-Year Program's Faculty

Patricia A. Carney, PhD<sup>a</sup>; Lars E. Peterson, MD, PhD<sup>b,c</sup>; Alan B. Douglass, MD<sup>d</sup>; Stephanie E. Rosener, MD<sup>e,f</sup>; W. Perry Dickinson, MD<sup>d</sup>; Mark T. Nadeau, MD, MBA<sup>g</sup>; Karen B. Mitchell, MD<sup>h</sup>; Colleen Conry, MD<sup>d</sup>; James C. Martin, MD<sup>i</sup>; M. Patrice Eiff, MD<sup>a</sup>

## AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:

<sup>a</sup> Department of Family Medicine, School of Medicine, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR

<sup>b</sup> American Board of Family Medicine, Lexington, KY

<sup>c</sup> Department of Family and Community Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY

<sup>d</sup> University of Colorado School of Medicine, CU Anschutz Medical Campus, Denver, CO

<sup>e</sup> Department of Family Medicine, Mountain Area Health Education Center, Asheville, NC

<sup>f</sup> Family Medicine, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC

<sup>g</sup> Family Medicine Residency Program, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX

<sup>h</sup> Student and Resident Initiatives, American Academy of Family Physicians, Leawood, KS

<sup>i</sup> Long School of Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, San Antonio, TX

**HOW TO CITE:** Carney PA, Peterson LE, Douglass AB, et al. Authors' Response to Letter From 4-Year Program's Faculty. *Fam Med.* 2025;57(9):686–686. doi: [10.22454/FamMed.2025.719664](https://doi.org/10.22454/FamMed.2025.719664)

**PUBLISHED:** 22 September 2025

© Society of Teachers of Family Medicine

## TO THE EDITOR:

We were happy to see faculty participants from the 4-year programs<sup>1</sup> weigh in on several findings from the Length of Training Pilot in response to our paper on the scope of practice according to training length.<sup>2</sup> It was also interesting to review their list of additional findings they have observed in their graduates. We heartily agree that participation in networks focused on residency redesign efforts can influence training outcomes in positive ways, and we will be monitoring the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education Advancing Innovation in Residency Education (AIRE) family medicine program efforts in this regard. Educational research can be challenging to conduct, but it is so important for preparing a workforce dedicated to tackling health and health

care complexities, especially during a time when education, health, and research are all under attack.

## REFERENCES

1. Skariah J, Weida N, Legere-Sharples K. Response to “impact of training length on scope of practice among residency graduates: a report from the Length of Training Pilot study in family medicine. *Fam Med.* 2025;57.
2. Carney PA, Valenzuela S, Dinh DH. Impact of training length on scope of practice among residency graduates: a report from the Length of Training Pilot study in family medicine. *Fam Med.* 2025;57(8):550–563.