

# Risk for Unintended Pregnancy Among Latino Men and Women in a Nonmetropolitan County in Michigan

Katherine L. Hughey, MD | Mikel Llanes, MD | Melissa Plegue, MA | Daniel J. Kruger, PhD |  
Adreanne Waller, MPH | Charo Ledón | Tammy Chang, MD, MPH, MS

Published: 11/1/2017 | DOI: 10.22454/PRiMER.2017.651218

## Abstract

**Introduction:** This study characterizes the risk for unintended pregnancy among Latino men and women within a racially and ethnically diverse county in Michigan.

**Methods:** Latino respondents aged 18 to 50 years who reported no intention to become pregnant in the next year and no contraception use were defined as “at risk” for unintended pregnancy. Any associations between risk for unintended pregnancy and sociodemographic factors was determined through multivariate logistic regression.

**Results:** Risk for unintended pregnancy was higher among men (67%) than women (59%;  $P=0.046$ ;  $n=341$ ). In multivariate logistic regression analysis, English speaking ability was associated with lower odds for risk of unintended pregnancy ( $OR=0.73$ ;  $P=0.004$ ). Having a high school degree or less was associated with higher odds for risk of unintended pregnancy ( $OR=4.15$ ,  $P<0.001$  and  $OR=2.74$ ,  $P=0.026$ , respectively).

**Conclusion:** Among Latinos in a nonmetropolitan community, both men and women were at high risk for unintended pregnancy. Future studies that address the reasons behind this elevated risk may inform potential interventions among this at-risk group.

## Introduction

Nearly half of pregnancies nationally (45%) and more than half of pregnancies in the state of Michigan (54%) are unintended, defined as any pregnancy that a woman reports was not desired at the time it occurred.<sup>1,2</sup> Unintended pregnancy is a significant public health concern because of the associated health and social consequences to mother and child,<sup>3,4</sup> and represents a significant cost to the nation.<sup>5</sup>

Women with low incomes, lower levels of education, minority ethnicity, or other barriers to health care access have higher rates of unintended pregnancy than the general population.<sup>1</sup> In an analysis of US contraceptive use from 2006 through 2010, 10% of sexually active, reproductive-aged Latina women were not using any contraceptive method, and were thus at risk for unintended pregnancy.<sup>6</sup> Factors associated with high rates of unintended pregnancy among Latina women include socioeconomic factors, English language ability, perceptions of contraceptive methods, and religious/cultural beliefs.<sup>7,8</sup>

The Centers for Disease Control’s (CDC) National Survey of Family Growth published in 2006 indicates that 34% of births in the previous 5 years were unintended (defined as “unwanted” or “mistimed”) by Latino men,<sup>9</sup> compared to 48% for Latina women.<sup>10</sup> However, much of this national data has been collected from Latinos living in large metropolitan areas and may not represent the large proportion of Latinos that live in nonmetropolitan areas.<sup>11</sup>

Washtenaw County, MI, has a population of 344,791 reported by 2010 CDC data. The largest racial/ethnic groups are

white (74.5%), black/African American (12.7%), Asian (7.9%), and Latino/Hispanic (3.5%).<sup>12</sup> The aim of this study was to build on this existing national data with community-level findings on the current risk for unintended pregnancy among Latino men and women in a nonmetropolitan county.

## Methods

---

### ***Design and Sample***

*Encuesta Buenos Vecinos* (EBV, “Good Neighbors Survey”) is a community-based participatory research project that administered a health survey of Latinos in Washtenaw County, MI. To obtain a representative sample based on estimates of the population size (12,000 to 15,000), nearly 500 Latino men and women were surveyed anonymously via either a paper survey (distributed door-to-door or available in community meetings at various community centers) or an online survey (distributed via community email listservs or through social media sites). Self-identified Latino respondents aged 18 to 50 years were included in the sample.

### ***Data Collection and Outcomes***

The survey was administered in English or Spanish by trained, paid community members to respondents between September and December 2013. Data collected included sociodemographic characteristics, intentions regarding future childbearing, and use of any contraceptive method, in addition to other health and community-related data. The sample composition was monitored for representativeness of the local population to ensure external validity.

### ***Analysis***

Risk for unintended pregnancy among all respondents was determined by using two questions from the survey: “How do you feel about having a child now or sometime in the future?” and “Are you or your partner doing anything now to prevent pregnancy?” Respondents who indicated no desire to have a child or a desire but greater than 1 year from now and no current use of any contraceptive method were coded as “at risk” for unintended pregnancy.

Descriptive statistics were used to determine the characteristics of the sample. Multivariate logistic regression was used to determine any association between risk for unintended pregnancy and sociodemographic variables.

This subanalysis of EBV data was deemed exempt from review by the University of Michigan Institutional Review Board.

## Results

---

Our sample (n=341) included 57% women and 43% men with mean ages 31.3 and 32.7 years, respectively. There were no significant differences between men and women in regards to education, marital status, and number of children (Table 1).

Of women of childbearing age who had no desire or desired a child more than 1 year from time of survey, 59% reported no use of a contraceptive method. Of male respondents ages 18 to 50 years who had no desire or who desired a child greater than 1 year from time of survey, 67% reported no use of a contraceptive method. This rate was significantly different from women respondents ( $P=0.046$ ).

Analyses were limited to men ages 18 to 50 for ease of comparison with women respondents. As men can contribute biologically to a pregnancy at nearly any adult age, a sensitivity analysis was performed that included older men; results did not differ from those reported.

As shown in Table 2, English speaking ability was associated with lower odds for risk of unintended pregnancy (OR=0.73,  $P=0.004$ ). Having a high school degree or less than a high school degree was associated with higher odds (OR=4.15,  $P<0.001$  and OR=2.74,  $P=0.026$ , respectively).

## Discussion

---

Compared to the risk for unintended pregnancy among Latina women nationally (10%), the risk among our female sample from a diverse nonmetropolitan county is significantly higher (59%). The risk for Latino men in our sample is even higher (67%). This builds on existing national data by characterizing risk for unintended pregnancy for Latino men and women in the same nonmetropolitan community and has several implications.

First, although it is possible that respondents in our survey chose not to prevent pregnancy despite not wanting to become pregnant, these findings suggest a need for increased attention to possible barriers to contraception use among the many Latino men and women in nonmetropolitan communities. Latinos in these communities may be reluctant to advocate for necessary health care due to concerns regarding legal residency status. Nonmetropolitan communities often lack the resources of larger metropolitan communities, including language-concordant health care providers and facilities. This may help to explain lower odds for risk of unintended pregnancy among Latinos who speak better English and have completed more education. Latino men and women living in nonmetropolitan communities may also experience lower neighborhood social cohesion due to lower concentrations of Latino community members or fewer culturally appropriate community resources, increasing their risk of poorer physical and mental health.<sup>13</sup> Men may be at particularly high risk in this community due to many factors ranging from cultural norms that defer contraceptive choices to women, to health care provider tendency to screen only women for pregnancy intentions and contraception use. Further in-depth studies are required to fully understand this phenomenon.

Next, interventions that incorporate education and direct patient care that include men may be vital in reducing risk for unintended pregnancy in these groups. Policy changes that include improved access to male-specific contraception may also reduce risk. Several programs to improve access to contraceptives for uninsured or underinsured women currently exist in Washtenaw County, MI. However, none of these extend coverage to men, making access to services such as vasectomy unattainable for many.

Although the EBV is the largest needs assessment of Latinos to date in Washtenaw County, our findings should be considered with the following limitations. Our sample was drawn from one diverse Michigan county and may not be generalizable to different populations. Also, our results depend on self-report from a large survey intended to garner diverse data about health status and behaviors, but not limited to reproductive behaviors or attitudes. While this can be considered a limitation, it also minimizes the effect of desirability bias, making our findings more conservative and thus likely more reliable.

## Conclusion

---

Our study adds new information regarding the risk for unintended pregnancy among Latinos that live in nonmetropolitan communities. Interventions that focus on men and women as equal agents in family planning may help to reduce unintended pregnancy among Latinos in Washtenaw County, MI, and other similar nonmetropolitan communities.

## Tables and Figures

---

**Table 1: Sample Demographics and Comparison of Women and Men, EBV, Washtenaw County, Michigan, 2013. n=341**

Variable	Women (n=192)	Men (n=149)
<b>Age, P value=0.017 *</b>	<b>n (%)</b>	<b>n (%)</b>
18-29	86 (44.8)	72 (48.6)
30-44	92 (47.9)	53 (35.8)
45-64	14 (7.3)	21 (14.2)
65+	0 (0.0)	2 (1.4)
<b>Mean(SD), P value=0.239 #</b>	<b>31.3 (8.2)</b>	<b>32.7 (12.0)</b>
<b>Education, P value=0.849 *</b>		
< HS diploma	50 (26.3)	45 (30.6)
HS grad	69 (36.3)	51 (34.7)
Some college	13 (6.8)	10 (6.8)
Bachelors+	58 (30.5)	41 (27.9)
No response	2	2
<b>Marital Status, P value=0.831 *</b>		
Married/committed	122 (64.2)	94 (63.1)
Other (Single, dating, widowed)	68 (35.8)	55 (36.9)
No response	2	0
<b>Insurance, P value&lt;0.001 *</b>		
No	31 (16.9)	51 (35.2)
Yes	152 (83.1)	94 (64.8)
<b>Number of Children&lt;18, P value=0.122*</b>		
0	73 (38.2)	74 (50.7)
1	50 (26.2)	27 (18.5)
2	46 (24.1)	32 (21.9)
3+	22 (11.5)	13 (8.9)
No response	1	3
<b>English Speaking Ability, P value=0.031*</b>		
Not at all	19 (9.9)	6 (4.0)
Poorly	34 (17.8)	29 (19.5)
Fair	29 (15.2)	25 (16.8)
Well	28 (14.7)	10 (6.7)
Very well	21 (11.0)	27 (18.1)
Excellent	60 (31.4)	52 (34.9)
No response	1	0

Key: EBV= Encuesta Buenos Vecinos, HS=High School, \*=Chi-squared test, #=Student's t-test

**Table 2: Relationship Between Risk for Unintended Pregnancy and Sociodemographic Factors From Multivariable Logistic Regression**

Predictor	OR (95% CI)	P value
<b>Access to Insurance</b>		
No	Reference	
Yes	0.91 (0.49, 1.70)	0.774
<b>Gender</b>		
Female	Reference	
Male	1.69 (0.98, 2.91)	0.057
<b>Age</b>	1.02 (0.98, 1.06)	0.274
<b>Married</b>		
No	Reference	
Yes	1.01 (0.58, 1.76)	0.963
<b>Education</b>		
< HS diploma	2.74 (1.13, 6.68)	0.026
HS grad	4.15 (2.16, 7.98)	<0.001
Some college	2.15 (0.80, 5.78)	0.127
Bachelors+	Reference	
<b>English Ability</b>	<b>0.73 (0.59, 0.91)</b>	<b>0.004</b>

**Bold=** Statistically significant as defined by  $P < 0.05$  in two-tailed test.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr Patricia Mullan for her review and consultation of this manuscript.

## Funding Source

*Encuesta Buenos Vecinos* (EBV) received funding from the following:

- US Housing and Urban Development, Sustainable Communities Grant; Washtenaw County Office of Community and Economic Development—CCPGMI0057-11
- Michigan Institute for Clinical Health Research—2UL1TR000433-06
- Michigan Department of Community Services—Health Equity Capacity Building Project 93.991

EBV was a collaborative project with Washtenaw County Public Health, University of Michigan-School of Public Health, and Casa Latina.

## Corresponding Author

Katherine L. Hughey, MD

Department of Family Medicine, University of Michigan Medical School, 1150 West Medical Center Drive, M7300 Medical Science I, SPC 5625, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-5625. 224-639-3989. Fax: 734-615-2687

[klemmler@med.umich.edu](mailto:klemmler@med.umich.edu)

## Author Affiliations

Katherine L. Hughey, MD - University of Michigan Department of Family Medicine

Mikel Llanes, MD - University of Michigan Department of Family Medicine

Melissa Plegue, MA - University of Michigan School of Medicine, Department of Family Medicine

Daniel J. Kruger, PhD - University of Michigan School of Public Health

Adreanne Waller, MPH - Washtenaw County Public Health, Ypsilanti, MI

Charo Ledón - Accion Buenos Vecinos Community Coalition, Ann Arbor, MI

Tammy Chang, MD, MPH, MS - University of Michigan Department of Family Medicine and Institute for Healthcare Policy and Innovation, Ann Arbor, MI

## References

1. Finer LB, Zolna MR. Declines in Unintended Pregnancy in the United States, 2008-2011. *N Engl J Med*. 2016;374(9):843-852.  
<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1506575>.
2. Kost K. Guttmacher Institute. Unintended pregnancy rates at the state level: estimates for 2010 and trends since 2002. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/StateUP10.pdf>. Accessed June 7, 2017.
3. Orr ST, Miller CA, James SA, Babones S. Unintended pregnancy and preterm birth. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2000;14(4):309-313.  
<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3016.2000.00289.x>.
4. Barber JS, Axinn WG, Thornton A. Unwanted childbearing, health, and mother-child relationships. *J Health Soc Behav*. 1999;40(3):231-257.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2676350>.
5. Sonfield A, Kost K. Guttmacher Institute. Public costs from unintended pregnancies and the role of public insurance programs in paying for pregnancy related care. National and state estimates for 2010. <http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/public-costs-of-UP-2010.pdf>. Accessed September 20, 2017.
6. Jones J, Mosher W, Daniels K, US Division of Vital Statistics. Current contraceptive use in the United States, 2006-2010, and changes in patterns of use since 1995. US Department of Health and Human Services. <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr060.pdf>. Accessed September 20, 2017.
7. Unger JB, Molina GB. Acculturation and attitudes about contraceptive use among Latina women. *Health Care Women Int*. 2000;21(3):235-249.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/073993300245285>.
8. Sangi-Haghpeykar H, Ali N, Posner S, Poindexter AN. Disparities in contraceptive knowledge, attitude and use between Hispanic and non-Hispanic whites. *Contraception*. 2006;74(2):125-132.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.contraception.2006.02.010>.
9. Martinez GM, Chandra A, Abma JC, Jones J, Mosher WD. Fertility, contraception, and fatherhood: data on men and women from cycle 6 (2002) of the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth. *Vital Health Stat* 23. 2006;(26):1-142.
10. Kim TY, Dagher RK, Chen J. Racial/ethnic differences in unintended pregnancy: evidence from a national sample of US women. *Am J Prev Med*. 2016;50(4):427-435.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.09.027>.
11. Suro R, Singer A. Latino growth in metropolitan america: changing patterns, new locations. Center on Urban & Metropolitan Policy and the Pew Hispanic Center. <http://www.pewhispanic.org/files/reports/10.pdf>. Accessed September 20, 2017.
12. Washtenaw County Quick Facts. [http://www.ewashtenaw.org/about/quick\\_facts.html](http://www.ewashtenaw.org/about/quick_facts.html). Accessed September 20, 2017.
13. Rios R, Aiken LS, Zautra AJ. Neighborhood contexts and the mediating role of neighborhood social cohesion on health and psychological distress among Hispanic and non-Hispanic residents. *Ann Behav Med*. 2012;43(1):50-61.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-011-9306-9>.

Copyright © 2017 by the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine