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BACKGROUND AND OBJECTIVES: Patients in many countries face poor access to specialist care. Electronic consultation (eConsult) improves access by allowing primary care providers (PCPs) and specialists to communicate electronically. As more countries adopt eConsult services, there has been growing interest in leveraging them as educational tools. Our study aimed to assess PCPs’ perspectives on eConsult’s ability to improve collegiality between providers and serve as an educational tool.

METHODS: We conducted a qualitative content analysis of free-text comments left by PCPs using the Champlain BASE eConsult service based in Eastern Ontario, Canada. All responses provided between January 1, 2015 and January 31, 2017 that mentioned education or collegiality were included.

RESULTS: PCPs completed 16,712 closeout surveys during the study period, of which 3,601 (22%) included free-text comments. Of these, 223 (6%) included references to education or collegiality. Three prominent themes emerged from the data: building provider relationships, teaching incorporated into answer, and prompting further learning.

CONCLUSIONS: PCPs described eConsult’s ability to foster stronger relationships with specialists, deliver responses that provided teaching in multiple areas of their practice, and support further learning that extended beyond the case at hand and into their overall practice. The Champlain BASE eConsult service has educational value for providers. Further study is underway to explore how questions and replies submitted through eConsult can be used to facilitate reflective learning and promote feedback to providers.
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Patients in many countries face poor access to specialist care. In Canada, patients face wait times for specialist care exceeding those of most developed countries. A 2016 Commonwealth Fund survey of 11 countries found that 56% of Canadians wait more than 4 weeks for specialist appointments, compared to an international average of 36%. The United States fared better, though numerous studies have identified serious inequities in access to various specialty services, particularly for patients who live in low-income communities or lack private insurance. This issue has serious consequences, causing frustration for providers, increased costs for the health system, and poorer health outcomes for patients. New approaches are needed to reduce wait times and improve access. Electronic consultation (eConsult) offers a promising solution.

Several jurisdictions in the United States, Canada, and Europe have adopted eConsult services with encouraging results. A growing body of literature has demonstrated eConsult’s ability to improve timely access to specialist advice, increase patient and provider satisfaction, improve health outcomes, and reduce costs. Furthermore, recent studies have shown a growing interest in eConsult’s potential to increase collegiality between providers and support continuing medical education.

In Jean Lave’s situated learning theory, he states that all learning is embedded within activity, context, and culture. He emphasizes that learning is most effective within a “community of practice.” As the learner moves from the periphery of the community to the center, they become more engaged within the culture and eventually assume the role of an expert. If we consider this in the context of the eConsult...
service, we can theorize that providers’ interactions within the eConsult platform create a unique learning community that can situate, engage, and transform a learner’s knowledge. Building on situated learning is the theory of connectivism, which views a learning community as a node in a much larger learning network.\textsuperscript{21}

In this study, we examined survey responses from PCPs enrolled with the Champlain Building Access to Specialists Through eConsultation (BASE) eConsult service. Our goal was to assess PCPs’ perspectives on eConsult’s ability to improve collegiality between providers and serve as an educational tool.

**Methods**

**Design**

We conducted a qualitative content analysis of free-text responses made by PCPs during a mandatory closeout survey at the end of each eConsult interaction. All responses pertaining to educational value or collegiality were included.

**Setting**

The eConsult Service is located in the Champlain Local Health Integration Network (LHIN), a health region in Eastern Ontario, Canada. The region has a population of approximately 1.2 million people, with half residing in the region’s major metropolitan area (Ottawa) and half in the surrounding rural districts up to 2 hours away by car.

**The eConsult Service**

The Champlain BASE eConsult service is a secure online application that links primary care providers (PCP) and specialists. First launched in the Champlain LHIN as a small proof of concept in 2010, the service became a full pilot in 2011 and has expanded across Ontario.\textsuperscript{23} PCPs log into the service using any device with a web browser, enter their question into a free-text field, attaching any files they deem relevant to the case (eg, images, test results), and select a target specialty from a drop-down menu. A case assigner allocates the case to a specialist from the chosen specialty, who responds with advice, a recommendation for a face-to-face referral, or a request for more information. Specialists are expected to reply within 1 week, though the median response time for the service is only 0.9 days.\textsuperscript{23} PCPs complete a survey at the conclusion of every eConsult case including an optional free text box that allows PCPs to leave any additional comments they may have.

**Data Collection**

Usage data and survey responses are collected automatically by the service. For the purposes of this study, we only included cases in which (1) PCPs opted to leave a free-text comment pertaining to reference to education or collegiality, and that (2) closed on or after January 1, 2015. This date was selected to avoid overlap with a previous thematic analysis that included free-text data from all surveys completed prior to 2015 and looked at PCPs’ responses more broadly.\textsuperscript{11}

All participating PCPs have already provided consent for data collection and analysis. The data collection process is part of the original study protocol and has received approval from the Ottawa Health Science Network Research Ethics Board (OHSN REB #2009848-01H).

**Data Analysis**

An initial coder (J.J.) reviewed all PCP comments left between January 1, 2015 and January 31, 2017. The coder selected all comments that pertained to education or collegiality. Relevance was determined by the presence of terms such as “collegial,” “teaching,” “education,” “learning,” etc. This process was conducted manually rather than through a search algorithm to allow for the inclusion of cases where issues of education or collegiality were discussed without reference to preselected key terms. The coder excluded all cases not selected in the initial parsing and imported the remaining cases into NVivo software to facilitate analysis.

Next, two coders (J.J. and T.A.) reviewed the cases independently and conducted a content analysis\textsuperscript{24} on the deidentified comments using NVivo software. Specifically, both analysts independently coded each comment using a word or phrase that captured the narrative feedback, and eventually distilled several recurring themes. This was done in three progressive analytical stages: initial (line-by-line coding), focused (preliminary recurring themes), and theoretical coding (finalize list of consolidated themes).\textsuperscript{25}

Once themes had been identified, the reviewers met several times to discuss themes and recode the data until a framework evolved that both coders agreed upon. Disagreements about the data were resolved through discussion. Upon completion of the initial framework, the two coders reviewed the codebook independently, noting any mis-coded items or redundant or misplaced themes. They revised the codebook and presented it to the research team, which included a primary care provider (C.L.), endocrinologist (E.K.), and academic researcher (D.A.) to ensure that both clinical and methodological perspectives were brought to the analysis.

The research team provided feedback and identified any disconfirming data. The team met several times to generate interpretive insights, and to guide the final framework. Review continued in an iterative process until the entire research team was satisfied with the codebook.

**Results**

PCPs completed 16,712 closeout surveys during the study period, of which 3,601 (22\%) included free-text comments. Of these, 223 (6\%) included references to education or collegiality. The 223 comments that met inclusion criteria were submitted by 106 unique PCPs, of whom 60\% were female, 96\% were family physicians (vs 4\% nurse practitioners), and 86\% practiced in urban regions.

Upon completion of our analysis, three prominent themes emerged:
from the data: building provider relationships, teaching incorporated into answer, and prompting further learning (Figure 1).

**Building Provider Relationships**

When discussing the educational and collegial value of eConsult cases, PCPs frequently reference the service’s ability to build stronger relationships between primary and specialist providers. We identified four subthemes: collegial reply, critical of response, helps new graduates, and share learning with others (Table 1).

In many cases, PCPs expressed thanks to the specialist for the quick turnaround time and quality of responses they provided. PCPs appreciated the effort specialists put into their replies, which they noted in several cases went above and beyond what was necessary to answer the question. PCPs articulated a sense of providers helping one another, which supported a collegial exchange. For example, one PCP wrote

> your feedback was great. Thanks for taking the time to add more info than required of you. Great learning tool for a new grad like myself!

While the majority of cases in our sample were positive, a few PCPs criticized the tone specialists took in their responses. In one instance, the PCP stated that the response “made me feel like I was being stupid/thick.” These occurrences were uncommon and highlight the importance of collegiality in eConsult exchanges.

A few PCPs identified themselves as recent graduates and emphasized the service’s value for them specifically. These PCPs found the educational opportunities that eConsult presents to be invaluable in the early years of their practice, with one PCP noting that the specialist’s response provided “excellent, timely feedback with additional teaching which will help me going forward in my career.”

In a few cases, PCPs expressed their intention to share what they learned among colleagues. For instance, one PCP discussed how they shared the case at an informal group session when they commented

> Thanks for this interesting information… I happened to have my PBSG (problem based small group) at my house…and we looked at the information together.

**Table 1: Subthemes Associated With the Theme of Building Provider Relationships**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Key Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collegial reply</td>
<td>PCPs expressed thanks to specialists, sense of specialist going “above and beyond.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical of response</td>
<td>Infrequent cases of PCPs criticizing specialists’ tone, sense of being talked down to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helps new graduates</td>
<td>Recent graduates valued learning opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share learning with others</td>
<td>PCPs took opportunity to share new knowledge with team members and other providers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PCP, primary care provider.
Teaching Incorporated Into Answer
PCPs frequently noted that educational content was incorporated directly into the specialist’s response; that is, the very nature of posing a question about a patient to a specialist and receiving a reply is inherently educational. Five subthemes emerged under this theme: appreciation of specialist time/effort, identifying knowledge gaps, teaching on diagnostics/investigations, teaching on medications, and teaching on treatment/management (Table 2).

The first subtheme—appreciation of time and effort specialists put into their responses—was apparent as PCPs described the extra information or resources that specialists provided alongside their answer, which made it a more educational experience. Specific items included attaching a journal article that explored the question topic, advising them on helpful resources in their community, and simply providing more detail than was expected. The prevailing attitude among these PCPs is neatly summarized by one reply: “an excellent response with clear advice, some much appreciated teaching pearls, and information regarding current available hospital resources. [...] your consults really should be used as a benchmark for what e-consults should look like.”

Another commonly cited benefit of eConsult was its ability to identify gaps in PCPs’ knowledge. Many PCPs described how the specialist’s response helped give new ideas for a patient who cannot be transported for consultation.

Prompted Further Learning
While PCPs regularly described the value of individual eConsult cases, they also made frequent reference to the ongoing benefits their learning had on themselves and their patients. Five subthemes emerged from this theme: increasing PCP confidence/reassurance, gaining information on guidelines/literature/resources, limitations of other resources, helping patients, and value for future cases (Table 3).

Several PCPs stated that the education they received through eConsult increased their confidence in their ability to provide care for the patient. These PCPs felt reassured and more capable of assessing the situation given the knowledge they’d acquired. As one PCP described:

I was very nervous about starting [name of medication], and was pleased to hear it was the right decision. I also learned that there are a few additional blood tests I need to do.

Often, specialists supplemented their responses by attaching articles, referencing guidelines, or discussing resources the PCPs could use in their patient’s care. PCPs valued this additional information, especially when treating patients with complex conditions. By providing guidelines,

### Table 2: Subthemes Associated With the Theme of Teaching Incorporated Into Answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Key Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation of specialist time/effort</td>
<td>PCPs valued the extra information specialists provided in responses (eg, links to journal articles, contact info for community resources).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identifying knowledge gaps</td>
<td>Specialists’ response taught PCPs about a field or condition they were previously unfamiliar with.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching on diagnostics/investigations</td>
<td>PCPs learned about new tests, strategies for diagnosis, and interpretation of test results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching on medications</td>
<td>PCPs received advice on side effect, vaccine reactions, and dosages that increased their confidence in prescribing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching on treatment/management</td>
<td>PCPs received detailed instructions on how to manage their patients, often eliminating need for a face-to-face referral.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PCP, primary care provider.
Table 3: Subthemes Associated With the Theme of Prompted Further Learning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtheme</th>
<th>Key Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increasing PCP confidence/reassurance</td>
<td>PCPs felt more capable of assessing situations themselves using knowledge acquired through eConsult.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaining information on guidelines/literature/resources</td>
<td>Knowledge of guidelines and community resources helped PCPs deal with new issues as they arose.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limitations of other resources</td>
<td>PCPs found accessing literature directly time-consuming and noted that guidelines often left them with unanswered questions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helping patients</td>
<td>Specialists occasionally furnished materials that PCPs could pass on to patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value for future cases</td>
<td>eConsult resulted in new skills and knowledge that PCPs could incorporate into their daily practices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: PCP, primary care provider.

specialists helped PCPs deal with various contingencies, while their knowledge of community resources ensured that PCPs could refer their patients to effective sources of care. PCPs also appreciated receiving literature related to the case; as described by one PCP:

I really appreciate [the specialist] not only answered my question, but attached a journal article related to the topic to enhance my knowledge!

In several cases, PCPs emphasized the value of eConsult by citing the limitations of other knowledge sources. They discussed how looking up advice in academic literature can be time consuming, while guidelines alone often don’t account for the variations between cases and can leave PCPs with unanswered questions. PCPs valued how eConsult offered advice tailored to their specific case in a short time frame.

Occasionally, PCPs reported that specialists provided them with literature or other information they could give to patients. According to PCPs, patients appreciated this information, as it offered them a better understanding of their case and provided advice for ongoing treatment.

In many cases, PCPs stated that the information they received from the specialist would benefit future patients as well. PCPs valued the learning that comes with responses to individual cases, as it provided them with new skills, resources, and knowledge that they can incorporate into their daily practice. As one PCP said:

eConsult is great. The best part about it is I can often apply what I’ve learned in an eConsult to future patients as well. Not only have I avoided a referral with this eConsult but I’ll likely save time for future patients in similar situations.

Discussion

Our study found that PCPs valued eConsult as an educational tool and a means to improve interprovider collegiality. Three themes emerged from the data: building provider relationships, teaching incorporated into answer, and prompting further learning. The eConsult service not only directly aided PCPs in their decision making for their patient, but also served as a communication channel that encouraged teaching and fostered stronger relationships between providers. These virtual connections and networks lead to unique opportunities for teaching and learning, all without providers ever meeting one another face to face. It is evident that a well-structured question or presentation of a clinical case by a PCP can lead to an informative clinical response by a specialist that is rich in information, advice, and support. Often an effective specialist response leads to immediate learning and application for the PCP.

The findings from our qualitative analysis are supported by additional data. On October 1, 2016, our team modified the closeout survey that PCPs complete after each case, replacing two questions about the service’s overall value with items pertaining specifically to its educational benefits. The first question asked PCPs to rank the specialist’s response on its educational value, using a 5-point Likert scale (with one being minimal value and five being excellent value). The second question asked users to determine whether “this eConsult addresses an important clinical problem that should be incorporated into upcoming CME (continuing medical education) events.” In a study analyzing eConsult’s impact using numerous metrics, we examined responses to these questions from 10,364 cases, and found that PCPs ranked eConsult as having high or very high educational value in 92% of cases.26 PCPs were likewise supportive of incorporating eConsult answers into CME events, with 57% agreeing and only 8% disagreeing.26 Such responses lend credence to our conclusions, as they demonstrate an appetite for eConsult as an educational tool across a significant wealth of data. Furthermore, other electronic communication platforms have shown similar findings. For example, the University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center developed a telementoring program for health professionals to improve pain management expertise. Clinicians practicing in rural and underserved communities convened weekly by telehealth technology (Project ECHO Pain). An evaluation
Likewise, when applied to the states that a network is a connected by many PCPs. The premise of connectivism is that knowledge is distributed across the network. Information is continually changing over time as people’s understanding of a subject or problem evolves. The ability to make decisions based on changing understandings is integral to the learning process and is an important concept of connectivism. As PCPs continue to ask the same specialist for trusted advice, over time a strong relationship develops which reduces the fragmentation of care, ultimately improving the quality of service to patients. Collegiality is also an important element of building rapport and relationships among providers. Specialists who reply to questions with “if this were my patient...” or “good question, I would do ...” exemplify respect. In turn, appreciation by PCPs for favorable advice received from specialists goes a long way toward building rapport.

Moreover the themes demonstrate how eConsult acts as a bridge connecting formal and informal learning settings. It is the learning that arises from formal learning (teaching from the specialist response) to prompting self-learning (further learning and additional resources through formal and informal settings in particular, such CPD courses and hallway conversations,). All three forms are important for lifelong learning. However, despite these benefits, further work is needed to support broader adoption of eConsult services as vehicles of learning and as means of improving patients’ access to care. While such services have grown in recent years, there remain a large number of providers in many countries who have not adopted these innovations. A recent study by Kane and Gillis notes that only 11.2% of US physicians use online-based communication tools to interact with other health providers.

This study had several limitations. The analysis was done on a set of free-text open-ended survey questions, and there is some literature documenting potential issues of achieving enough data richness from using only free-text responses. Furthermore, our inclusion criteria resulted in a data set comprised of only a small percentage (6%) of total comments, which risks overstating the breadth of consensus among PCPs and limits the generalizability of our findings. However, we attempted to minimize these issues by avoiding a purely numerical/counting analysis and instead conducting a rigorous thematic analysis with several rounds of coding, discussion, and consolidation among a team with differing professional competencies.

Figure 2: The eConsult Service Applied to Kolb’s Cycle
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experiences in order to extract meaningful conclusions. Also, although the data was free-text, many of the comments were rich in context, emotion, and educational experience as evidenced by lengthy responses outlining PCPs connection to the specialist and appreciation, and sometimes negative emotions as well. Finally, we also linked our analysis with a clearly established framework and existing literature to enrich our themes and conclusions. Other limitations include a small sample size and potential overrepresentation of users who appreciated the service as they may have been more likely to leave comments.

Conclusion
The Champlain BASE eConsult service has educational value for providers. PCPs described the service’s ability to foster stronger relationships with specialists, deliver responses that provided teaching in multiple areas of their practice, and support further learning that extended beyond the case at hand and into their overall practice. Further study is underway to explore how questions and replies submitted through eConsult can be used to facilitate reflective learning and promote feedback to providers.
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