Abstract

Introduction: Telemedicine has rapidly become an essential part of primary care due to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, formal training in telemedicine during residency is lacking. We developed and implemented a telemedicine curriculum for a family medicine residency program and investigated its effect on resident confidence levels in practicing telemedicine.

Methods: We designed a process map of the telemedicine visit workflow at the residency clinic to identify key topics to cover in the curriculum. We created a live 50-minute didactic lecture on best practices in telemedicine, along with a quick-reference handout. We distributed pre- and postintervention surveys to current residents (N=24) to assess the effect of the educational intervention on their confidence in practicing telemedicine.

Results: Fourteen residents (58% response rate) completed all aspects of the study including both surveys and participation in the educational intervention. Confidence levels in conducting telemedicine visits increased in three of five domains: (1) virtual physical exam ($P=.04$), (2) visit documentation ($P=.03$), and (3) virtually staffing with an attending ($P=.04$). Resident interest in using telemedicine after residency also increased following the educational intervention.

Conclusion: Telemedicine requires a unique skill set. Formal education on best practices improves resident confidence levels and interest in practicing telemedicine. Primary care residency programs should incorporate telemedicine training to adequately prepare their graduates for clinical practice.

Introduction

Telemedicine is the practice of using technology to deliver health care remotely.\textsuperscript{1} While it has been a growing industry for the last 2 decades, widespread adoption was limited by reimbursement restrictions and technology barriers. The need for telemedicine abruptly increased during the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic because it served as a method for continuing health care while limiting in-person exposures. In graduate medical education, telemedicine was rapidly adopted once the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services expanded reimbursement for telemedicine outside of rural areas and allowed virtual precepting of resident visits via video/phone.\textsuperscript{2}

There are several nuances to practicing telemedicine that require unique skills compared to in-person care.\textsuperscript{3-5} For example, visit logistics change when incorporating technology. Maintaining professionalism requires greater consideration of the surrounding environment and body language. The physical exam involves coaching patients to self-perform certain maneuvers. These aspects are different enough that formal training is essential to providing high-quality care through telemedicine. Despite this, there is a lack of training in telemedicine in primary care.
residency programs, likely due to low utilization prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. In a 2015 survey of 207 family medicine residencies nationwide, the majority of program directors reported that they had telehealth services in some form, but actual use was limited and infrequent.\textsuperscript{6}

While the American Academy of Family Physicians endorses telemedicine exposure during residency, there is no recognized gold standard for training in graduate medical education.\textsuperscript{1,7} There are several studies on telemedicine education in other specialties; however, to our knowledge there are no published studies in family medicine.\textsuperscript{8-14} The Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM) perceives a need for standardized training and recently created a task force to develop a national telemedicine curriculum.\textsuperscript{1} In this study, we developed a telemedicine curriculum for the Stanford-O’Connor Family Medicine Residency Program and investigated its impact on resident confidence and attitudes toward telemedicine.

**Methods**

The study population included current Stanford-O’Connor family medicine residents (N=24), whose clinic is an urban federally qualified health center. To develop the educational intervention, we designed a process map of our telemedicine visit workflow to identify key topics to include (Figure 1). We then developed a 50-minute lecture that was conducted live during regularly-scheduled didactic time. The lecture was recorded and made available to all residents, along with a quick-reference handout. Topics included appropriate visit types, logistics, technology set-up, etiquette, effective communication, virtual physical exam, documentation, and staffing with an attending. These resources are available for public access on the STFM Resource Library.\textsuperscript{15}

Residents completed a pre- and postintervention survey on their confidence in conducting various aspects of a telemedicine visit using a 4-point Likert scale (0=not at all confident, 4=very confident). They were also assessed on their attitude toward telemedicine by indicating their level of agreement with different statements. To be included in the study, residents were required to complete both pre- and postsurveys and the educational intervention. Survey data were linked by deidentified participant ID numbers, and statistical significance was determined by the paired-sample Wilcoxon signed rank test. The Stanford University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board granted this project exemption.

**Results**

Of the 24 current residents, 14 (58%) met inclusion criteria. Resident mean confidence levels in conducting telemedicine visits increased in all domains (Table 1). Three domains were statistically significant ($P<.05$): virtual physical exam (median 1 vs 2), documenting the visit (median 2.5 vs 3), and virtually staffing with an attending (median 3 vs. 4). Confidence levels for the logistics of a telemedicine visit (median 2 vs 2) and taking a history through telemedicine (median 3 vs 3) did not significantly increase. Following the intervention, all residents expressed interest in using telemedicine after residency (Figure 2).

**Conclusions**

We developed and implemented a telemedicine curriculum for family medicine residents that included a didactic lecture and quick-reference handout. Prior to our educational intervention, most residents felt comfortable obtaining a history through telemedicine, but confidence was lower for conducting a virtual physical exam, documenting, and virtually staffing with an attending. The results of our study show significant increases in confidence levels in these domains after formal telemedicine education. Confidence in logistics for a telemedicine visit did not change, likely because residents were already familiar with the workflow standardized by clinic administration before our curriculum was implemented. Our findings are consistent with prior studies on resident telemedicine training in a variety of specialties.\textsuperscript{8,13} We are the first, to our knowledge, to report such findings for family medicine residents.

Limitations of our study include self-reported data, selection bias from voluntary survey participation, and small
sample size due to inclusion of a single site. Additionally, the time between educational intervention and postsurvey was only 1 week. This short interval was chosen to prevent residents from having significant time to complete more telemedicine visits, which could have confounded our data. Assessing the durability of these confidence increases over time was not within the scope of our study. Finally, it is unclear what is the best method of telemedicine instruction in graduate medical education, given the lack of a current gold standard for training.

Our educational resources are publicly available to assist other residency programs in developing their own telemedicine curriculum. Future directions include expanding our study to multiple sites to determine if findings are generalizable to a larger population. Additionally, longitudinal outcomes could be assessed to determine if a one-time educational intervention creates lasting effects on resident skills and attitudes toward telemedicine.

While the future of telemedicine post-COVID-19 remains unclear, current widespread use and advocacy for permanent expansion of reimbursement indicates that it will continue to have an important role in health care. Given this, primary care residency programs should incorporate telemedicine training to adequately prepare their graduates for clinical practice. Our developed curriculum contributes to the growing body of telemedicine education in residency.

Tables and Figures
Table 1: Reported Resident Confidence Levels in Telemedicine Visit Domains, Pre- and Posteducational Intervention (0=Not at All Confident, 1=Less Confident, 2=Somewhat Confident, 3=Very Confident)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Preintervention Confidence</th>
<th>Postintervention Confidence</th>
<th>P Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics of a telemedicine visit</td>
<td>2.14 (0.36)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.43 (0.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking a history through telemedicine</td>
<td>2.79 (0.43)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.93 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conducting a virtual physical exam</td>
<td>1.21 (0.97)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documenting a telemedicine visit</td>
<td>1.79 (0.89)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5 (0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staffing with an attending virtually</td>
<td>1.57 (0.65)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.21 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Determined by paired-sample Wilcoxon signed-rank test
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