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Every year scholars from around the world attend scientific meetings. In the case of American family medicine, our most important academic meetings are the annual meetings of the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM) and the North American Primary Care Research Group (NAPCRG). Many of us also attend the annual Residency Leadership Summit sponsored by the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) and the Association of Family Medicine Residency Directors. Some of us also present at the AAFP Annual Scientific Assembly or at AAFP state chapters meetings. Young faculty are encouraged to attend and present at these meetings; having presentations accepted by the meetings’ peer review process is considered an important professional accomplishment. Some of these presentations are purely pedagogic while others share interim or final results of original research.

For years, we have encouraged presenters to submit papers based on their meeting presentations to peer-reviewed journals. But presentation and publication are two very different forms of scholarship. Purely pedagogic presentations are rarely suitable for publication. Although they can be enlightening and innovative examples of outstanding teaching, they usually offer little proof of effectiveness. On the other hand, original research reaches a far larger and more diverse audience when it is published in a medical journal than when it is presented at a meeting, and publication creates a permanent searchable document in the National Library of Medicine’s Medline index.

There are many reasons to present original research at scientific conferences. It is a great way to share with colleagues and can provoke discussions about the implications and next steps of your research. It is also a great faculty development opportunity for junior faculty helping them to focus their thoughts and react to questions from colleagues. But does presenting research at a meeting lead to publication? Traditional wisdom in academic medicine has considered peer-reviewed research presentations at scientific meetings to be the first step in a virtuous cycle that leads to peer-reviewed publications, and eventually to grant funding for the next research study. Future meeting presentations and publication of findings from the subsequent study then continue this cycle. How often does this really happen?

The lead article in this issue of *Family Medicine* is another in a series of studies that suggests that it usually does not. Maxime Pautrat, MD, and colleagues found that about one-third of the presentations at two French general practice meetings ultimately were published. The results were a bit better (56%) for presentations at European General Practice Research Network meetings. These findings agree with previous work in family medicine and in other medical specialties; the publication rate of scholarship presented at scientific meetings is 50% or less. Of course, some of the presentations at these meetings are not original research, but even research presentations can be challenging to transform into publishable papers. Perhaps we need to reconsider our traditional wisdom. Maybe we should stop...
Family Medicine Journal Seeks Editor in Chief Beginning in 2022

John Saultz, MD, Family Medicine Editor in Chief, completes his final term in May of 2022 for a total of 12 years as editor. We are indebted to him for his exemplary service and significant contributions to our discipline, research community, and to STFM.

*Family Medicine* seeks to continue its strong tradition of publishing this highly respected journal by launching a national search for its next Editor in Chief (EIC). The EIC will guide and implement a vision for the journal that disseminates high-quality educational research and innovations to enhance care of patients, improves family medicine education, and supports family medicine faculty.

The successful applicant will be a visionary with demonstrated understanding of scientific methods, statistics, and other analytic methods, research and publishing ethics, the peer-review process, and the discipline of family medicine. They should have an outstanding record of academic and research accomplishments in family medicine and demonstrated experience with the editorial process.

A detailed job description can be found at www.stfm.org/fmeditorjobdescription Applications must be submitted by email by November 5, 2021 and include the following materials:

- A cover letter highlighting interest and qualifications for the position
- A current curriculum vitae, including applicant’s publications
- A 1-2-page description of how the editor will advance their vision for *Family Medicine*
- A statement from an administrator of the applicant’s institution or organization describing support for the appointment

Send application by e-mail to svantuyl@stfm.org with a subject line: “Family Medicine Editor Search.”