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Abstract

Introduction: Structural racism is a root cause of health disparities. While family medicine residency programs recognize the importance of addressing race in medicine, it is unclear how many programs have established racial justice training (RJT). This study examines residents’ views on the current state of RJT in their respective programs.

Methods: This survey was part of the Council of Academic Family Medicine Educational Research Alliance (CERA) 2021 national survey of family medicine residents. Questions addressed RJT, resident reported barriers to implementing such training, and recommendations for change.

Results: Of the family medicine residents who responded (n=266), the majority of individuals (91.5%) and their residency programs (65.0%) stated that addressing racism in medicine is an educational priority. Residents reported a minority of their programs (17.3%) have a longitudinal curriculum. Residents who received RJT in residency are more likely to be in communities of color ($P=0.03$). The top requests included recruiting faculty and residents of color, and establishing community-based partnerships.

Conclusions: Few residencies have been able to implement RJT to the extent that residents’ desire. Lack of curricular time and faculty training were commonly cited barriers. Strategies to address these barriers and implement RJT across residencies are needed to combat structural racism.

Introduction

Racism continues to be heavily present in the health care system, leading to profound harm for people who access and work within it. Medical students and residents have had multiple calls to action about addressing racism in medicine and the need for systemic change. Combating the effects of racism requires the use of innovative and impactful curricula to facilitate change at all levels of education and leadership.

Racial justice curriculum (RJC) focuses on the understanding of the cause and consequences of racism and its effects on health disparities. The shift from cultural competency to racial justice training (RJT) enables individuals to identify racism as it is experienced and focus on transforming the structural aspects of medicine that lead to health disparities. The reality of how such curricula are prioritized and implemented across residencies is unclear. A survey of family medicine residency program directors concluded that although a majority of program directors believed that RJC should be included in the curricula, only 30.7% of residency
programs had implemented formal RJC. 

Our study examined residents’ opinions and perceptions of the current state of RJT within family medicine residency programs (FMRPs), and their perspectives on barriers to implementation. We hypothesized that while most family medicine residents believe it is an educational priority to address racism in medicine, only a minority of FMRPs will have RJT. Furthermore, Black, Indigenous, and People of Color (BIPOC) residents and residents who train in communities of color will more likely agree that RJT should be a priority.

**Methods**

Data were gathered and analyzed as part of the 2021 Council of Academic Family Medicine Educational Research Alliance (CERA) survey of family medicine residents. The methodology of the CERA Resident Survey has previously been described in detail. Resident members of the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) received the survey electronically in spring 2021. The project was approved by the American Academy of Family Physicians Institutional Review Board.

We performed all analyses using STATA 13.1 (STATA Corp, College Station, TX). We conducted univariate statistics to describe resident and program characteristics. We performed bivariate statistics to examine the relationships between RJT with resident and program characteristics. We used $\chi^2$ tests to assess significance for categorical comparisons. We set significance at $P<.05$.

**Results**

A total of 266 residents participated in the CERA survey. Table 1 lists participant characteristics including their demographic breakdown. Of the residents who responded, a majority (91.5%) believed that addressing racism in medicine is an educational priority, and 32.8% prioritized ranking residencies higher if the program’s mission aligned with this (Figure 1). Although BIPOC residents were more likely to respond that RJT should be an educational priority, the difference with non-BIPOC residents was not significant ($P=.059$). Sixty-five percent of residents believed that their FMRP stated addressing racism in medicine is an educational priority. However only 17.3% of residents believed their programs had regularly scheduled trainings (Table 2).

Table 3 shows predictors of which residents and FMRPs were more likely to agree that addressing racism was an educational priority. For residents, there were no significant correlation based on demographics nor training environment. Residents who believed that their FMRP identified racism in medicine as an educational priority were significantly more likely to have RJT (85.0% vs 48.8%, $P<.001$). Residents from FMRP that serviced a higher percentage of BIPOC patients (>25%) were also more likely to have RJT (Table 4, 63.8% vs 48.6%, $P=.03$).

Residents self-identified various barriers to implementing RJT (Figure 2). The perceived barriers in order of frequency included lack of curricular time, lack of faculty training in this topic area, and lack of financial and curriculum resources. Table 2 shows that residents prioritized recruiting faculty of color, recruiting residents of color, and establishing community-based partnerships as components of RJT.

**Conclusion**

The findings of this CERA study of family medicine residents were consistent with our hypothesis that the majority of responding residents believed that it is important to address racism in medicine. Although less than one-fifth of responding residents believe they have consistent RJT; more than half reported sporadic trainings. Residents were more likely to have RJT if they agreed that their program prioritized addressing racism and if their program served a patient population where majority of patients identify as BIPOC.
The most significant perceived barriers by residents to implementation of RJT within FMRPs are lack of time and lack of faculty training. These findings are similar to the 2020 CERA survey of program directors, but more program directors ranked lack of faculty training and lack of curriculum resources as common barriers.\textsuperscript{10} Having faculty trained in the topic of racial justice is fundamental for a successful RJT.\textsuperscript{1,3,7}

The call for more RJT in medical education has been ongoing for years. National institutions and organizations in medicine have recognized the importance of embarking in a cultural change with intention and urgency.\textsuperscript{4,12-14} A program’s priorities are reflected in the core competencies outlined by the Accreditation for Graduate Medical Education. Specifically including racial justice curriculum in the updated Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Milestone as outlined by the Association of Family Medicine Residency Directors and Health Equity Task Force will encourage all residencies to operationalize a racial justice lens.\textsuperscript{15,16}

Our study has limitations, including an overall response rate of 5%, which is similar to prior published resident CERA studies. The results may not be generalizable to other residency specialties and nonresponders. Survey data were self-reported and thus subject to response bias and socially desirable answers. Furthermore, these answers are based on residents’ perceptions of their program and may not accurately reflect the program.

In summary, our study found that residents report a minority of FMRPs have been able to implement regularly occurring RJT. Our findings support the need for establishing an intentional RJT which necessitates protected curricular time and faculty training. Additional research should examine the content and impact of current racial justice curriculum and identify strategies to further implement such programs as well as the effectiveness to ultimately combat systemic racism.

**Tables and Figures**
### Table 1: Characteristics of Family Medicine Residents and Residency Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident Characteristic</th>
<th>Residents, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Postgraduate Year (PGY)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY 1</td>
<td>104 (39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY 2</td>
<td>62 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY 3</td>
<td>94 (35.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY 4</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, Years</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>113 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>111 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>22 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-44</td>
<td>13 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;45</td>
<td>7 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>114 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>148 (55.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transgender male or female</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonbinary/other</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not disclose</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic or Latino/a Ethnicity</td>
<td>25 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>150 (56.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>62 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black or African American</td>
<td>22 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choose not to disclose</td>
<td>13 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location and Accreditation of Medical School</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US allopathic</td>
<td>114 (43.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US osteopathic</td>
<td>78 (29.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>73 (27.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residency Program Characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent BIPOC Patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10</td>
<td>41 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>59 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>68 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-50</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>78 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BIPOC, Black, Indigenous, and People of Color.
Figure 1: Family Medicine Residents Response That It is an Educational Priority to Address Racism in Medicine (n=247)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes, and I prioritized residencies that addressed racial justice on my rank list</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, and it did not impact my ranking of residencies</td>
<td>58.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Racial Justice Training in Family Medicine Residency Program or Department, and Recommendations From Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Question</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residency program or department provided us with some form of racial justice training (workshop, lecture, grand rounds regarding topics such as implicit bias, microaggressions, race in medicine etc).</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree – my program has actively disregarded calls for training.</td>
<td>5 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree – we don’t have any of these trainings.</td>
<td>30 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral - my program/department doesn’t have it but it’s available in other departments.</td>
<td>35 (14.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree – these trainings occur sporadically.</td>
<td>135 (54.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree – these trainings occur on a regular basis, including a longitudinal curriculum.</td>
<td>43 (17.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**I would like to see my residency program or institution (select up to 3)...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offer intermittent small group events such as caucusing, readings, journal clubs</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer intermittent larger group events such as lectures, workshops</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer longitudinal curriculum – consistently scheduled events which can include small and large group events</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit faculty of color</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruit residents of color</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish community-based partnerships to promote racial justice advocacy</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer self-directed learning curriculum</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offer access to online toolkit or resource library</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocate CME to attend conferences focus on racial justice</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CME, continuing medical education.
Table 3: Comparison of Residents’ Response to Their Individual Belief and Perspective on Their Family Medicine Residency Program’s Characteristics With Prioritizing Racism as an Educational Priority

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resident or Program Characteristic</th>
<th>Addressing Racism in Medicine is an Educational Priority</th>
<th>Residency Program Stated That Addressing Racism in Medicine Is Educational Priority</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes*, %</td>
<td>No, %</td>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>Yes, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>55.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>.059</td>
<td>65.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/Asian/other</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not disclose</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latina/o</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>.42</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of Racial Justice Training at Program**</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>.56</td>
<td>85.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIPOC patients &gt;25</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: BIPOC, Black, Indigenous, and People of Color.

*Yes categories collapsed

**Defined as yes if residents answered agree or strongly agree that their program/institution has racial justice training (Table 2).

Figure 2: Family Medicine Residents’ Perceived Barriers to Implementing a Racial Justice Curriculum Within Their Residency Program
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