Evaluation of Point-of-Care Ultrasound Training for Family Physicians Using Teleultrasound
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: The goal of this study was to assess family physicians’ change in knowledge and ability to perform abdominal aorta ultrasound after implementation of a novel teleultrasound curriculum.

Methods: This was a prospective, observational study conducted at a single academic institution. Family physicians completed a preassessment, test, and objective structured clinical evaluation (OSCE). Physicians then individually completed a standard curriculum consisting of online content and an hour-long, hands-on training session on abdominal aorta ultrasound using teleultrasound technology. Physicians then performed a minimum of 10 independent examinations over a period of 8 weeks. After physicians completed the training curriculum and 10 independent scans, we administered a postassessment, test, and OSCE. We analyzed differences between pre- and postcurriculum responses using Fisher exact and Wilcoxon signed rank tests.

Results: Thirteen family physicians completed the curriculum. Comparing pre- to postcurriculum responses, we found significant reductions in barriers to using aorta POCUS and improved confidence in using, obtaining, and interpreting aorta POCUS (P < 0.01). Knowledge improved from a median score of 70% to 90% (P < 0.01), and OSCE scores improved from a median of 80% to 100% (P = 0.012). Overall, 211 aorta ultrasound examinations were independently acquired with a median image quality of 4 (scale 1 to 4).

Conclusions: After an 8-week teleultrasound curriculum, family physicians with minimal experience with POCUS showed improved knowledge and psychomotor skill in abdominal aorta POCUS.

INTRODUCTION

Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) is a noninvasive tool used at the bedside to aid with diagnosis and is associated with improved patient outcomes and higher patient satisfaction scores.1–7 More recently POCUS has expanded into the practice of family medicine with the American Academy of Family Physicians (AAFP) publishing guidelines for incorporating POCUS into family medicine residency curricula.8 Implementation of such a curriculum requires time, resources, and POCUS-trained faculty.9,10 In addition, the instructor and learner need to be in the same physical location. Teleultrasound, which is POCUS education via videoconferencing technology,11–13 is a way to circumvent some of these barriers to curriculum implementation.

The main objective of this study was to evaluate the ability of family physicians to perform and interpret abdominal aorta POCUS after completing a curriculum fully implemented using teleultrasound. Aorta POCUS was specifically chosen for this study because office-based screening of patients for an abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) is associated with decreased mortality and improved access to AAA screening, which is especially important in rural settings and practices with lower-income patient populations where there are barriers to preventative care.14–18

METHODS

We collected data for this prospective, observational study from October 2020 to April 2022. An institutional review board deemed the study exempt.

We modeled the 8-week abdominal aorta POCUS curriculum (Figure 1) after the American College of Emergency Physicians and AAFP POCUS guidelines7,8 and the objectives after the indication, acquisition, interpretation, and medical decision-making (I-AIM) model for teaching and performing
Physicians individually completed a presurvey and 10-question pretest. We assessed barriers to general POCUS using a 5-point Likert scale (1=not at all confident, 3=somewhat confident, 5=completely confident). Physicians also individually completed a pre-objective structured clinical examination (OSCE) and 1-hour, hands-on training session via teleconferencing technology. Lumify (Philips International BV) ultrasound machines with Reacts software (Philips Healthcare Informatics Inc) were used for teleconferencing. Instructors were ultrasound fellowship-trained faculty. During these sessions, each physician performed three examinations using the “see one, do one, teach one” Kolb method of learning.

After hands-on training, physicians completed an online module that included a narrated lecture on aorta ultrasound, and they were required to complete a minimum of 10 independently acquired POCUS examinations on standardized patients and/or clinic patients. We did not collect patient data, and we deidentified and wirelessly uploaded the images for quality assurance (QA) review. Two emergency medicine faculty with extensive experience performing POCUS reviewed and graded the scans, using a 4-point QA scale (1=no identifiable structure, 2=some structures in view but not adequate for interpretation, 3=some structures in view and adequate for interpretation, 4=all structures viewed and diagnosis supported). This scale has been used in previous studies. Comparing pre- to postcurriculum responses, we found a significant reduction in self-perceived barriers to performing an abdominal aorta POCUS and improved confidence (Tables 2 and 3). All physicians (n=13) felt that learning how to perform an aorta POCUS exam was useful to their clinical practice both before and after the curriculum. After the curriculum, all physicians (n=13) felt confident with using the ultrasound machine and acquiring images, and 7 out of 13 (54%) identified no barriers to performing abdominal aorta POCUS. All participants rated the curriculum as good (n=4) to excellent (n=9), and 11 out of 13 (85%) felt confident in their ability to teach abdominal aorta POCUS to students.

Knowledge scores significantly improved from a median of 70% (range, 10%–90%) precurriculum to 90% (range, 70%–100%) postcurriculum (P<0.0001). OSCE scores improved from the pretest. We evaluated curriculum effectiveness by assessing the images submitted for QA as well as pre- to postcurriculum change in knowledge (test scores), change in confidence and barriers, and change in psychomotor skill (OSCEs).

We analyzed differences between pre- and postassessments using Fisher exact, Wilcoxon signed rank, and χ² tests with a 5% significance level. We performed a participant-level, clustered linear regression to test whether the frequency of scans taken affects image quality. We used intraclass correlation (ICC) to determine agreement between experts about image quality. We performed all statistical analyses using SAS statistical software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

**RESULTS**

Thirteen out of 16 (81%) completed the full curriculum; 6 of 13 (46%) were faculty with a median of 9 years in practice and a range of 3 to 24 years (Table 1). Twelve (91%) participants had little to no prior experience using ultrasound, and only one physician had performed one aorta ultrasound examination in the preceding 6 months.

**TABLE 1. Participant Demographics, n=13**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years in Practice/Training</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PGY1</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY2</td>
<td>2 (15.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGY3</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>6 (46.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Number of Years in Practice Since Finishing Residency Training, Median (Min–Max)</td>
<td>9.0 (3.0–24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior Ultrasound Experience Within the Past 6 Months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>4 (30.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some/used a few times</td>
<td>8 (61.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate/use a couple times per month</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large amount/use weekly</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Ultrasounds Performed in the Last 6 Months, Median (Min–Max)</td>
<td>3 (0–12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Aorta Ultrasounds Performed in the Last 6 Months, Median (Min–Max)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1. Flow of Participants Through Curriculum**
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a median of 8 (range, 2–10) to a median of 10 (range, 7–10) out of 10 possible points (P=0.012). Overall, 211 examinations were performed with physicians completing a median of 13 examinations (range, 10–28). Median image quality was four (range, 2–4) out of four (Table 4). Image quality improved by 0.005 for each subsequent image acquired. Six examinations had an AAA present, and all were accurately identified. ICC between experts for image quality was 0.68.

**DISCUSSION**

Implementation of a POCUS curriculum requires a large amount of time and resources. Traditionally, POCUS training programs require the instructor and learner to be in the same geographical location. Utilization of teleultrasound is a way to circumvent some of these limitations, and, in this study, we found it to be feasible, with 81% of participants completing the full curriculum. Postcurriculum, 54% of physicians identified no barriers to performing abdominal aorta POCUS and 85% felt confident in their ability to teach aorta POCUS to students. Our study is similar to previous work on teleultrasound, which had no barriers to performing abdominal aorta POCUS and 85% felt confident in their ability to teach ultrasound. In this small study, family physicians showed improved knowledge and skill, with decreased barriers to performing and interpreting abdominal aorta POCUS. Importantly, family physicians were able to perform scans accurately and independently, which is crucial as physicians had to remember the skill over time and outside of the initial training period. The teleultrasound curriculum described in this study may be a viable alternative method to in-person instruction.
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