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Abstract

Introduction: Developing and implementing a wellness curriculum in a family medicine residency program is a complex process. We developed and implemented a new wellness curriculum in line with the national wellness conversation with a focus on the allocation of dedicated resources, the use of evidence-informed interventions, and the goal to be responsive to the feedback of both residents and residency leadership. Our research aim was to better understand the complexity of wellness curriculum implementation with a focus on identification of challenges to implementation.

Methods: We developed a wellness program with structured curricular elements initially focused on evidence-informed skill development that iterated after year 1 to include more process-oriented elements. For the years 2016-2019 we collected and analyzed qualitative, open-ended survey questions, anonymous resident curriculum feedback, and faculty observation forms to assess resident and faculty perspectives on the new curriculum.

Results: One hundred eighty-three survey invitations were sent with 122 total responses (66.7% response rate). Forty-eight of 56 residents responded to at least one survey. We analyzed responses along with the additional qualitative data that revealed several themes impacting the work of residency wellness curriculum implementation. These included how to manage curricular time, where the locus of control for the curricular content resides, and how residents and faculty differ in their definitions of wellness.

Conclusions: We believe programs will be well positioned if they further investigate the complex structures at play that influence residency wellness, including both systemic factors and individual and community level interventions, and design curriculum that is well-defined, includes essential elements, and is informed by resident participation.

Introduction

The impact of burnout on the physician workforce and the quality, safety, and satisfaction of patient care is well documented¹,² and especially salient to family medicine, given the influence of increasing workforce demands and burnout reducing capacity.³ The Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education (ACGME) inclusion
of wellness in the common program requirements\textsuperscript{4} codifies trainee well-being as essential.

The literature to date has focused on skills such as mindfulness, self-compassion, and cognitive behavioral therapy\textsuperscript{5-9} that have been found successful, but there are also some noted cases of failures of these interventions to decrease burnout.\textsuperscript{10} Systemic drivers of burnout\textsuperscript{11} are also being explored but often are outside of programs’ control, leaving programs mostly focusing on the wellness curricula. The Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM) Task Force on Resident Wellness developed a standard for programs\textsuperscript{12} but with little evidence published around the details of implementation. Recent literature suggests that having a champion, protected time, and a budget for wellness were associated with improved program director satisfaction in wellness curricula.\textsuperscript{13} However, there is little evidence on what residents find important.

The Family Medicine Residency at the University of Michigan Medical School developed an innovative curriculum in 2016 with three guiding principles: (1) allocate resources, (2) use evidence-informed interventions, and (3) respond to feedback. Our former curriculum emphasized momentary wellness (shared exercise, unstructured walks) and was shifted to structured elements centered on evidence-informed protective skills.\textsuperscript{5-7}

Our research aim was a qualitative exploration of wellness program implementation from the perspective of residents and curricular faculty with an emphasis on barriers to successful implementation.

**Curriculum Description**

Our curriculum focused on the skills of mindfulness, self-compassion, cognitive behavioral therapy,\textsuperscript{5,7} and process-oriented relationship building time. Delivery occurred through three 4-hour sessions for interns starting in 2016-2017 increased to quarterly sessions for 2017-2019. Second- and third-year residents received 2-hour sessions starting in 2017 and continued through 2019. In addition, all residents received 1-hour monthly sessions (Table 1) beginning in 2016. All sessions were required.

**Methods**

Using a qualitative descriptive design relying on purposive sampling and thematic analysis and interpretation of the data,\textsuperscript{14} we collected data for 3 years (2016-2019) through three qualitative sources. We collected voluntary anonymous surveys of all residents through software. The first-year surveys were sent three times to interns alone, followed in subsequent years by quarterly surveys to the interns and twice yearly to the second- and third-year residents. We sent 1-month reminders to nonresponders. Additional data sources included resident and faculty feedback as detailed in Table 2. This study was granted a Category One exemption from review for research regarding educational curricula by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Michigan.

The open-ended survey responses were analyzed initially by three of four authors independently conducting qualitative thematic analysis, using immersion/crystallization, which is an iterative process of collecting, analyzing, reflecting and returning to the data to identify themes.\textsuperscript{15,16} Using this iterative immersion/crystallization, our four-member team returned to the data after the initial theme identification for further interpretation and through repeated group discussion identified thematic patterns described below.

**Results**

Of 183 survey invitations sent, 122 responded (66.7\% response rate). Of the responses, 48 unique residents completed at least one survey out of 56 who participated in the curriculum. Our initial thematic analysis of the surveys resulted in themes both positive and negative that spoke to improvements in our curriculum but also the complexity of implementation (Table 3). Our deeper analysis of all the qualitative data identified three broad
themes highlighting differences between resident and faculty perspectives.

The first theme involved use of time, specifically, the balance between structured (skill focused) and unstructured (processing/connecting) time and the balance between didactic and experiential elements. For example, one resident stated that “I appreciate them trying to teach techniques we can use all the time,” and a second resident reflected this theme in stating, "Maybe an over concentration on...the formal, a lot of talking at rather than practicing.” An additional component identified by faculty members was the need for safety within the unstructured time. One faculty member observed during the initial year that “While there was a growing openness and comfort with each other, there also appeared to be a level of guardedness in sharing struggles and challenges.” However, this contrasted with an observation by a different faculty member referring to a later year, with

> “very intense personal discussion…several residents expressed feeling very burned out... The support from resident group was strong...at the end we asked them to write one word that summed up their experience of these sessions and every word was a variation on connection.”

The second theme extends the first, and is centered around the locus of control. Residents expressed desire for increased input as exemplified by this quote from a resident asking to “give us a few half days to orchestrate our own wellness activities.” Simultaneously, another resident noted a lack of enthusiasm for the planning when they expressed that “Brainstorming how to make the wellness curriculum better was useful, but not invigorating or refreshing...felt sort of like work.”

The third theme involved differing definitions of wellness with residents making statements that equated wellness with time to “do our work” or with time that “should be focused on social events.” This contrasted with the curriculum focus on evidence-informed skill-building activities.

**Discussion**

These results explored a single residency’s design and delivery of a wellness curriculum highlighting challenges in implementation. There was tension around what elements should be given emphasis with faculty designing the curriculum focusing on skill development and residents desiring less-structured relationship building and processing time. Faculty leaders noticed that smaller, more bonded groups could tolerate more vulnerability that resulted in greater benefit from the less-structured time but required attention to emotional safety and trust.

The second theme extends a known phenomenon that increased control tends to decrease stress and improve well-being. Evidence suggests greater efficacy in wellness curriculum with resident participation, therefore encouraging meaningful resident participation should be a goal. Residents’ perception that they had little control over curricular elements while at the same time admitting to less interest in engaging makes this a difficult balance to navigate.

The third theme pertains to differing definitions of wellness with our residents wanting time away from work to be included in the skill-focused wellness curriculum. Our qualitative data show resident preference for focus on systemic factors and work compression over skill development. Broadening the perspective of what is included is necessary, yet the larger systemic issues are better addressed outside of a curriculum by
leadership. Our curriculum now begins with the development of a shared definition of wellness and agreement on common goals.

Major weaknesses of this study are its small size and scope as well as potential for bias. A path forward lies in ongoing research with larger pools of residents and the development of curricula that incorporate a majority of "essential elements,“¹² achieve balance between relational time, skill building, and process-oriented sessions, and continued efforts to impact the larger systems that influence wellness.

Tables and Figures
Table 1: Curriculum Content (Due to Iterative Nature, There Was Some Change From Year to Year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intern focused</th>
<th>Session length</th>
<th>2016-2017</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>November</td>
<td>Mindfulness introduction/self-compassion</td>
<td>Mindfulness exercises (meditation, walking, mindful movement) Self-compassion exercises</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>February</td>
<td>Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT)</td>
<td>MBCT overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 hours</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>Acceptance and commitment therapy (ACT) principles</td>
<td>Emotional regulation ACT overview</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>2017-2019</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1       | July – during orientation | Skill focus:  
- Cognitive behavioral therapy 101  
- Usefulness of emotional awareness  
- Reflection focus:  
  - Fears/hopes for intern year |  
- Group bonding time  
- Mindfulness exercise: body scan  
- Nature walk: review personal values statements, use of a story to guide self-reflection, group discussion  
- Cognitive behavioral therapy 101  
- Team building exercise |
| 2       | November | Skill focus:  
- Self-awareness  
- Boundary setting  
- Needs assessment  
- Locus of control  
- Reflection Focus:  
  - Meaningful patient interactions |  
- Group bonding time  
- Mindfulness exercise: awareness of breath  
- Nature walk: think/pair share – focused on needs and locus of control  
- Group discussion: TED talk – focused on self-care, Team building exercise |
| 3       | February | Skill focus:  
- Self-compassion  
- Reflection focus:  
  - Burnout/depression awareness/prevention |  
- Group bonding time  
- Mindfulness exercise – self compassion focus  
- Skill session: cognitive reframe of medical errors/ awareness of burnout in colleagues and what to do  
- Nature walk |
| 4       | May      | Skill focus:  
- Review  
- Reflection focus:  
  - Sense of mastery  
  - Growth over the year |  
- Group bonding time  
- Mindfulness exercise - review  
- Skill session: think/pair/share – stories of mastery, group conversation, reminder of values statements  
- Nature walk: collect an object that typifies who they are now |

Second year focused | 2017-2019 | Topic | Content |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Midyear check in around professional development</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Think/pair/share – role as teachers and doctors  
- Where is there a feeling of mastery vs ongoing growth? |
| Spring              | 2         | Finding joy in work |  
- Review values and skill development and identify which tasks/skills bring joy (as individuals)  
- Group discussion |

Third year focused

| Winter              | 2         | Job search – translating values into next phase of career |  
- Individual reflection  
- Group discussion |
| Spring              | 2         | Reflection on residency and preparing for transition |  
- Group sharing  
- Peer reflections |

All resident monthly sessions | 2016-2019 |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
- Occurred every month during didactics  
- Topics rotated throughout the year with those highly rated recurring in subsequent years and those with lower ratings replaced.  
- 1-2 times per year sessions were broken into groups by resident year for support group session. | Sample list of sessions representative of range of topics covered:  
- Awe  
- Gratitude  
- Card care connect – make cards to donate to charity  
- Efficiency  
- Spirituality and medicine  
- VIA strengths inventory and spaghetti tower  
- Self-compassion and allowing  
- Massages and wellness cafe |
### Table 2: Overview of Resources Used for Qualitative Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Sample Questions</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Resident surveys                             | An anonymous electronic survey was sent to all residents at several points throughout the three years of our study. | All resident surveys:  
   - In what ways has the residency encouraged and/or supported you to pursue your own individual wellness plan?  
   - In what ways has the residency taken actions to build a culture of wellness and respond to the systemic issues that impact the overall wellness of the residency?  
   - If there was one thing you could point to that is either being done or that could be done by the program to support your pursuit of your own wellness plan, what would that be?  
   Intern surveys:  
   - Please reflect in 3-4 sentences on the first half of the workshop which emphasized mindfulness strategies and share what it means to you or how you might apply these.  
   - Please reflect in about 3-4 sentences on the second half of your workshop where the emphasis was on self-compassion strategies and share any observations about this session.  
   - Which components of a wellness curriculum should be included as we continue to improve on our commitment to supporting your well-being during training? | 2016-2017:  
   - Interns received surveys three times (curriculum started second quarter the first year)  
   2017-2019:  
   - Interns received quarterly surveys four times per year  
   - Second- and third-year residents received a survey twice per year in fall and spring (during the 2017-2018 year there were third years included who had not had the intern curriculum) |
| Resident feedback on curriculum              | Yearly formal written narrative resident feedback reports generated during an all-resident retreat held offsite without faculty. Residents led by the chief residents to evaluate the entirety of the curriculum. A narrative summary is provided to each area. We included 3 years worth of reviews specific to our curriculum in this study. | N/A – we did not specify any questions but rather obtained anonymous narrative feedback in the form of formalized reports. |                                                                          |
| Faculty observation forms collected after quarterly intern wellness sessions | A structured observation form was completed by the faculty delivering the wellness curriculum after each session. These were returned to the research team and included in the data analysis. This was done for years 2017-2019. | • Feedback obtained outside of survey instrument?  
   - What has been the quality of the interaction among the residents?  
   - What has worked well?  
   - What has not gone as expected?  
   - Were any topics raised by the residents that were unexpected?  
   - Any areas of concern or red flags?  
   - Changes being made based on feedback and observations? | 2017-2019  
   - These were completed by 1-2 faculty who led the quarterly intern wellness sessions after these sessions 4 times per year for two years.  
   7 total observation forms were included in analysis. |
Table 3: Thematic Analysis of Open-Ended Questions From All 3 Resident Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific mood and physical symptoms due to their rigorous intern schedules and clinical responsibilities</td>
<td>Positive feedback around schedule changes allowing for personal time for appointments</td>
<td>Positive feedback around usefulness of tools and valuing of connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-critical = barrier to self-compassion.</td>
<td>Felt supported to pursue personal wellness plan</td>
<td>Negative feedback around wishing they (the residents) had more ownership over how curricular time and resources were used</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness and self-compassion tools important, yet not routinely incorporated in daily life</td>
<td>Most useful elements of the curriculum were shared time together, time in nature and individual reflection.</td>
<td>Different definitions of wellness among residents leading to very disparate feedback on what is individually useful</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frustration regarding workshops feeling repetitive and without enough time for group interaction</td>
<td>Positive feedback around leadership responsiveness to feedback</td>
<td>Strong sentiment that the system is unwell making the wellness curriculum not actually able to make residents well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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