

The Power of Primary Care to Improve Health

Sumi M. Sexton, MD | Sarina Schrager, MD, MS | Dean A. Seehusen, MD, MPH | Christopher P. Morley, PhD | Caroline Richardson, MD | Jon Neher, MD | James DomDera, MD

PRiMER. 2026;10:31.

Published: 09/04/2026 | DOI:[10.22454/PRiMER.2026.279754](https://doi.org/10.22454/PRiMER.2026.279754)

Amid rising health care costs and worsening outcomes, primary care remains the foundation for improved health in the United States. The 2026 Primary Care Scorecard confirms what we have known for more than half a century: primary care is essential to good health and the solution to the health care crisis in the United States.^{1,2} However, primary care remains under-resourced and challenged to maintain its workforce, both in the United States and globally.³ In this joint editorial by US-based family medicine journal editors, we aim to highlight critical impacts of primary care on health and chronic disease, discuss long-standing barriers, unify the primary care community, and create momentum to influence policy and health.

The Impact of Primary Care

Family medicine emerged in the 1960s due to the need for holistic, person- and community-centered care to counter hyper-specialization and pathology-focused trends in medicine.⁴ The specialty is the cornerstone of primary care, a counterculture movement away from fragmented care in mainstream medicine, and the key to delivering whole-person care.⁵ Despite public perceptions of primary care, indisputable data of the benefits of primary care on health, and a clear roadmap to high-quality primary care provided by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (NASEM) in 2021 and subsequent reports ([Table 1](#)), the United States spends under 5% of total health expenditures on primary care.⁶ Yet it continues to have higher health care costs, more chronic disease, and lower life expectancy than peer nations.⁷⁻¹⁰

The benefits of having continuous primary care are well studied and include the following:¹¹

- Increased screening to prevent chronic disease in adults (96% with primary care versus 68% without primary care),
- Decreased emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalization in adults (by 11% and 20%, respectively)
- Reduced ED visits and hospitalization in children with chronic disease (by 50%),
- Lowered cost of care for chronic disease in adults and children (by 54% and 40%, respectively).

Primary care physician density in the population is associated with improved mortality and reduced health disparities.^{12,13} Patients who see primary care physicians report receiving more high-value care (such as cancer screening), better access to care, and better overall experience.¹⁴ The beneficial impact of primary care on population health likely reflects the core functions of primary care, including continuous relationships, whole-person care, better access, better quality, a greater focus on prevention, more appropriate and cost-effective testing, and early management of medical issues.¹³ Yet there is little emphasis on these core principles and continuous care in the quality measures to evaluate health care.¹⁵

Table 1. Policy Reports to Support and Transform Primary Care

Themes of key reports on primary care	Investing in primary care report (Milbank 2026)	National objectives for high-quality primary care (NASEM 2021)	State objectives for high-quality primary care (NASHP 2025)	National objectives for whole health (NASEM 2023)
Payment reform	Medicare should pay more for primary care and define all services from primary care clinicians as preventive and covered for patients. Large employers should purchase health care plans to promote primary care. Medicaid should increase reimbursement for primary care to support children and families. States should commit to increased primary care spending.	Pay for primary care teams to care for people. The nation gets what it pays for, and payment reform that supports and encourages high-quality primary care, rather than actively discouraging it, is fundamental to the committee's vision of high-quality primary care.	Pay primary care more and differently. Increase the portion of health care spending going toward primary care and promote non-fee-for-service reimbursement approaches that incentivize high-quality, team-based, whole-person care across all communities.	Design public- and private-sector policies and payment to support whole health as a common good and whole health <i>care</i> as a way of achieving whole health. Scaling and spreading whole health care throughout the United States will not be possible without realigning infrastructure, policies, and payment to support, promote, and fund the provision of the foundational elements of whole health care.
Access	Federal and state policies should prioritize expanding primary care capacity in underserved areas, with a goal of ensuring every patient has a usual source of primary care.	Ensure that high-quality primary care is available to every individual and family. Everyone in the country should have easy access to high-quality primary care that is person-centered, relationship-oriented, and responsive to the needs of the community.	Make it easier for people to access their primary care clinician. Implement targeted approaches to address individual, community, and market-level barriers to primary care access.	Integrate across systems, services, and time to support whole-health care throughout the lifespan. Achieving whole health will require support in all settings throughout peoples' lifespan, and within and across the communities to ensure holistic and comprehensive care.

(Continued)

Table 1. Policy Reports to Support and Transform Primary Care (continued)

Themes of key reports on primary care	Investing in primary care report (Milbank 2026)	National objectives for high-quality primary care (NASEM 2021)	State objectives for high-quality primary care (NASHP 2025)	National objectives for whole health (NASEM 2023)
Education	Federal and state graduate medical education (GME) policies should transform how we pay for GME.	Train primary care teams where people live and work. When primary care training is interprofessional and located in community settings, it is more effective at developing the skills that will keep people connected and healthy.	Expand and support the current and future primary care workforce. Expand the primary care pipeline, reduce barriers to joining the primary care workforce, and strengthen recruitment, training, and retention.	Prepare for a whole-health approach to care. Interprofessional teams, organizations, and systems need to understand where they are developmentally on the trajectory to delivering whole-health care and what they need to change to deliver whole-health care.
Transformation		Design information technology that serves the patient, family, and interprofessional care team. New health information technology standards should prioritize and facilitate integrated care that is person-centered, supports relationships, and is responsive to the needs of the community.	Build clinician capacity to provide patient-centered, whole-person care. Support practice transformation and facilitate the development of resources, tools, and technology to strengthen the ability of primary care clinicians to provide whole-person care, including behavioral and social supports.	Evaluate to iteratively refine whole-health-care systems and create generalizable knowledge. The understanding of how to best deliver whole-health care is evolving rapidly, so evaluating, adapting approaches efficiently, and sharing learnings will be essential for national success.
Prioritization	Funding for primary care should be prioritized.	Ensure that high-quality primary care is implemented in the United States. Implementing high-quality primary care requires clear and meaningful measures of	Make and keep primary care a top policy priority. Develop a statewide vision, convene key stakeholders, incorporate community voices, set goals, establish	Commit to the shared purpose of helping people achieve whole health. Engagement, support, buy-in, and prioritization from the bottom up and top down

(Continued)

Table 1. Policy Reports to Support and Transform Primary Care (continued)

Themes of key reports on primary care	Investing in primary care report (Milbank 2026)	National objectives for high-quality primary care (NASEM 2021)	State objectives for high-quality primary care (NASHP 2025)	National objectives for whole health (NASEM 2023)
		whole-person care, ongoing research, and leadership in the federal government to ensure policies support its development.	priorities and accountability, and measure progress.	are needed to enable the cultural and structural transformations necessary to scale and spread a system of whole health care. Deliver all foundational elements of whole-health care across the lifespan. Each foundational element of whole-health care is essential and interdependent, and successful whole-health systems need to attend to all elements.

Abbreviations: NASEM, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine; NASHP, National Academy for State Health Policy

Primary care physicians remain scarce, leaving too many Americans without a usual source of care. While data from 2023 shows a stable workforce of 67 primary care physicians per 100,000 population, other countries with better health outcomes average 80 per 100,000.¹ Lack of access to primary care results in delayed attention to acute and chronic medical conditions as well as less preventive care delivery.¹⁶ Fewer primary care physicians in rural areas, where the combination of travel distance and a lack of broadband internet leads to even longer delays and less overall access, amplifies disparities.^{17,18}

A lack of primary care also has financial implications, leading to more emergency department utilization, hospitalizations, and specialty care resulting in increased overall health care costs.¹⁹ An analysis of Veterans Health Administration data estimated that each primary care visit could result in more than \$700 in cost savings in health care.²⁰ Communities with less access to primary care experience greater health disparities, further compounding poverty and social determinants of health.^{21,22}

Barriers to a Strong Primary Care Workforce

The reasons for the shortage of primary care clinicians in the United States are multifactorial and complex.

First, the financial model of health care in the United States does not support a healthy primary care workforce. Further, none of the dominant payment models adequately recognize the breadth and complexity of primary care nor support the financial sustainability of primary care practices. Long-standing reimbursement disparities within the fee-for-service model reward utilization over outcomes, and value-based care shifts financial risk and administrative burden onto clinicians.²³ Direct primary care, while enhancing relationships with patients and liberating physicians from payer constraints, limits access for patients without financial means. The federal government has supported a variety of different models of care, but these investments have fostered mixed patient outcomes.²⁴

Second, not enough medical students are electing to pursue residency training in primary care specialties. A study of residency graduates from family medicine, internal medicine, and pediatrics programs reported that 97% of family medicine residents remained in primary care, while the vast majority of the other specialties did not.²⁵ Additionally, most advanced practice providers do not continue in primary care, choosing to work in specialty care instead.^{1,26,27} Several factors divert medical student interest away from primary care. The compensation is lower than for other specialties, compounded by specialty disrespect and the fact that many students graduate with a large amount of debt.²⁸ In clerkships, students encounter primary care preceptors burdened by excessive documentation and administration tasks.²⁹ In addition, as the primary care workforce ages, more people are retiring, leaving primary care, or reducing their clinical hours. The job has become untenable due to increasingly complex patients and more administrative burden.

Third, funding has decreased for both technology to support primary care practice and research to improve it. The development of electronic health records (EHRs) almost 20 years ago was supposed to save time but has instead made documentation more cumbersome. Artificial intelligence that supports, not supplants, the core tenets of primary care by enhancing patient relationships and trust is needed.³⁰ Research to determine improved ways of caring for patients is essential, yet investment in family medicine research is low. Although the largest percentage of health care happens in primary care offices, the National Institutes of Health and other federal funding agencies have awarded less than 1% of grants and funding for primary care research for more than two decades.³¹⁻³⁵

The Way Forward

Given the known benefits of primary care, the detrimental health effects of shortages, and the current barriers, where do we go from here? The 2026 report *Investing in Primary Care: The Missing Strategy in America's Fight Against Chronic Disease* reiterates the need for greater financial investment in primary care (Table 1).¹¹ This investment can support teams to provide whole-person-centered care, engaging patients as partners in their own health and well-being, ultimately reducing health disparities and chronic disease. Payment reform can compensate primary care clinicians as leaders of the team, reduce burnout, allow flexibility in the scope of work across full-spectrum family medicine, and free physicians to create a modern continuity model that is both fulfilling and rewarding. Investing in primary care research can ensure that care models are truly improving health outcomes. Investing in primary care technology can ensure that AI supports effective and safe care. Family medicine physicians, educators, and researchers need to stay informed of policy and payment changes; communicate with and advocate for our patients on these issues; encourage students to enter primary care; speak out at meetings in our institutions, health systems, and communities; contact local, state, and federal officials and/or support our colleagues who are doing this work (Table 2). As clinicians, educators, researchers, community members, and patients, we need to return to the core principles of family medicine and demand that health organizations and political entities refocus resources to the services that will serve the American public the best.

Acknowledgments

Andrew Bazemore, MD, MPH, Alex Krist, MD, MPH, Yalda Jabbarpour, MD, Wayne Jonas, MD, Priscilla Auguste, MD, Omici Uwagbai Colquitt, MD, Komal Gangar, MBBS, Michael Harding, MD, MPH, Sunitha Konatham, MPH, Sam Grammer, and Brandi White

Note

This editorial is being published simultaneously in *American Family Physician*, *Annals of Family Medicine*, *Evidence-Based Practice*, *Family Medicine*, *FP Essentials*, *FPM*, *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, and *PRiMER*.

Corresponding Author

Sumi M. Sexton

makkars@georgetown.edu

Author Affiliations

Sumi M. Sexton, MD - Editor in Chief, *American Family Physician* and *FP Essentials*, Leawood, KS

Sarina Schrager, MD, MS - Editor in Chief, *Family Medicine*, Leawood, KS

Dean A. Seehusen, MD, MPH - Editor in Chief, *Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine*, Lexington, KY

Christopher P. Morley, PhD - Editor in Chief, *PRiMER*, Leawood, KS

Caroline Richardson, MD - Editor in Chief, *Annals of Family Medicine*, Leawood, KS

Jon Neher, MD - Editor in Chief, *Evidence-Based Practice*, Columbia, MO

James DomDera, MD - Editor in Chief, *FPM*, Leawood, KS

Table 2. Resources, Reading, and Training for Family Medicine Advocacy

Resource	Description	Link
<i>AFP</i> podcast bonus episode 19	How family physicians, students, and residents can best advocate for our specialty, patients, and communities	https://podcast.aafp.org/bonus-episode-19-march-11-2026-afp-american-family-physician
AAFP advocacy	AAFP's advocacy efforts Advocacy ambassadors program Fighting for Family Medicine Podcast Roadmap for AI in Primary Care	https://www.aafp.org/advocacy https://www.aafp.org/get-involved/advocacy/ambassadors https://www.aafp.org/tag/collection/inside-family-medicine-podcast/fighting-for-family-medicine https://www.aafp.org/assets/image/upload/v1774642163/ou9mcudwopjndrxtxsbo.pdf
STFM advocacy course	Free advocacy course offered online by STFM	https://stfm.org/facultydevelopment/onlinecourses/advocacy-course/
AFMAC	Committee comprised of representatives from U.S.-based family medicine organizations; advises on priorities for advocacy with regulators, policy makers, and legislators	https://www.stfm.org/about/governance/committees/academic-family-medicine-advocacy-committee/
NAPCRG advocacy resources	A brief inventory of resources from NAPCRG focused primarily on advocacy for primary care research	https://www.napcrg.org/resources/advocacy-resources/
NFMSPR advocacy resources	Funding and advocacy statement from the NFMSPR	https://www.napcrg.org/programs/national-family-medicine-strategic-plan-for-research/funding-and-advocacy/
Advocacy in <i>AFP</i>	Articles published in family medicine journals	https://www.aafp.org/afp/2019/0101/p44
Advocacy in <i>Annals of Family Medicine</i>		https://www.annfammed.org/search/Advocacy
Advocacy in <i>Family Medicine</i>		https://journals.stfm.org/site-search-results?q=Advocacy%20&%20Policy
Advocacy in <i>FPM</i>		https://www.aafp.org/fpm/search-results?q=health+policy+advocacy
Advocacy in <i>JABFM</i>		https://www.jabfm.org/search/Advocacy

(Continued)

Table 2. Resources, Reading, and Training for Family Medicine Advocacy (continued)

Resource	Description	Link
Advocacy in <i>PRiMER</i>	https://journals.stfm.org/site-search-results/?q=Advocacy%20&%20Policy	
Primary Care Collaborative	Multistakeholder voice advocating for comprehensive primary care to improve health	https://thepcc.org/reports/2025-primary-care-investment-guide/ https://thepcc.org/factsheet/the-state-of-primary-care/
Resident and student resources	Advocacy resources and educational resources supporting future generations	https://www.aafp.org/residents/residency https://www.aafp.org/students/medical-school https://www.stfm.org/teachingresources/resourcesfor/residents/ https://www.stfm.org/teachingresources/resourcesfor/students/overview/ https://www.ama-assn.org/medical-residents/medical-resident-advocacy https://www.ama-assn.org/medical-students/medical-student-advocacy

Abbreviations: AAFP, American Academy of Family Physicians; AFMAC, Academic Family Medicine Advocacy Committee; AFP, American Family Physician; JABFM, Journal of the American Board of Family Medicine; NAPCRG, North American Primary Care Research Group; NFMSPR, National Family Medicine Strategic Plan for Research; STFM, Society of Teachers of Family Medicine

References

1. Jabbarpour Y. 2026 primary care scorecard shows continued underinvestment, workforce strain. Milbank Memorial Fund. Published February 12, 2026. Accessed March 5, 2026. <https://www.milbank.org/2026/02/2026-primary-care-scorecard-shows-continued-underinvestment-workforce-strain/>
2. World Health Organization. Declaration of Alma-Ata. Paper presented at: International Conference on Primary Health Care; September 6-12, 1978; Alma-Ata, USSR Accessed March 5, 2026. <https://www.who.int/teams/social-determinants-of-health/declaration-of-alma-ata>
3. Phillips RL, Fisher R, Jackson C, Martin D, Olde Hartman T, Goodyear-Smith F. Sufficient and efficient spending on primary care benefits national health and health systems. *Milbank Q*. 2026;104(2):303–323. doi:10.1111/1468-0009.70075
4. Stephens GG. Family medicine as counterculture. *Fam Med*. 1989;21(2):103–109.
5. Jonas W, Shaughnessy A. Returning to healing and whole person care in family medicine. *Am Fam Physician*. 2026;113(1):13–15.
6. Ma M, Etz R, Bazemore A, Grumbach K. The general public vastly overestimates primary care spending in the United States. *Ann Fam Med*. 2025;23(2):165–167. doi:10.1370/afm.240413
7. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Implementing High-Quality Primary Care: Rebuilding the Foundation of Health Care*. McCauley L, Phillips RJ, Meisner M, Robinson SK, eds. The

- National Academies Press; 2021. Accessed August 26, 2026. <https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/25983>
8. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. *Achieving Whole Health: A New Approach for Veterans and the Nation*. Meisner M, South-Paul J, Krist AH, eds. The National Academies Press; 2023. Accessed August 26, 2026. <https://www.nationalacademies.org/read/26854>
 9. Implementing High-Quality Primary Care: A Policy Menu for States. National Academy for State Health Policy and Milbank Memorial Fund. Published September 2, 2025. Accessed May 28, 2026. <https://nashp.org/implementing-high-quality-primary-care-a-policy-menu-for-states/>
 10. Telesford I, Wager E, Cox C. How does the quality of the U.S. health system compare to other countries? Peterson-KFF Health System Tracker. October 6, 2025. Accessed May 28, 2026. <https://www.healthsystemtracker.org/chart-collection/quality-u-s-healthcare-system-compare-countries/>
 11. Jabbarpour Y, Jetty A, Byun H, Siddiqi A, Park J, Koller CF. Investing in Primary Care: The Missing Strategy in America's Fight Against Chronic Disease. Milbank Memorial Fund and The Physicians Foundation; Published February 12, 2026. Accessed March 5, 2026. <https://www.milbank.org/publications/investing-in-primary-care-the-missing-strategy-in-americas-fight-against-chronic-disease/>
 12. Basu S, Berkowitz SA, Phillips RL, Bitton A, Landon BE, Phillips RS. Association of primary care physician supply with population mortality in the United States, 2005-2015. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(4):506–514. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.7624
 13. Starfield B, Shi L, Macinko J. Contribution of primary care to health systems and health. *Milbank Q*. 2005;83(3):457–502. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0009.2005.00409.x
 14. Levine DM, Landon BE, Linder JA. Quality and experience of outpatient care in the United States for adults with or without primary care. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019;179(3):363–372. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.6716
 15. Bazemore A, Petterson S, Peterson LE, Bruno R, Chung Y, Phillips RL. Higher primary care physician continuity is associated with lower costs and hospitalizations. *Ann Fam Med*. 2018;16(6):492–497. doi:10.1370/afm.2308
 16. Blewett LA, Johnson PJ, Lee B, Scal PB. When a usual source of care and usual provider matter: adult prevention and screening services. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2008;23(9):1354–1360. doi:10.1007/s11606-008-0659-0
 17. Gumas ED, Lewis C, Horstman C, Gunja MZ. Finger on the Pulse: The State of Primary Care in the U.S. and Nine Other Countries. *The Commonwealth Fund*. 2024. Accessed August 26, 2026. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/publications/issue-briefs/2024/mar/finger-on-pulse-primary-care-us-nine-countries>
 18. Farrigan T, Genetin B, Sanders A, et al. Rural America at a Glance: 2024 Edition. *Economic Research Service, US Department of Agriculture*. 2024. Accessed August 26, 2026. <http://www.ers.usda.gov/publications/110350>
 19. Bazemore A, Merenstein Z, Handler L, Saultz JW. The impact of interpersonal continuity of primary care on health care costs and use: a critical review. *Ann Fam Med*. 2023;21(3):274–279. doi:10.1370/afm.2961
 20. Gao J, Moran E, Grimm R, Toporek A, Ruser C. The effect of primary care visits on total patient care cost: evidence from the Veterans Health Administration. *J Prim Care Community Health*. 2022;13:21501319221141792. doi:10.1177/21501319221141792
 21. Stange KC, Miller WL, Etz RS. The role of primary care in improving population health. *Milbank Q*. 2023;101(S1):795–840. doi:10.1111/1468-0009.12638
 22. Vrtikapa K, Hoque Urmy F, Hoque F. Social determinants of health: the impact of this overlooked vital sign. *J Brown Hosp Med*. 2025;4(3):138072. doi:10.56305/001c.138072

23. Jabbarpour Y, Jetty A, Byun H, Siddiqi A, Park J. The Health of U.S. Primary Care 2025 Scorecard: The Cost of Neglect. *Milbank Memorial Fund and The Physicians Foundation*. 2025. doi:10.1599/mmfm.2025.0218
24. Sessums LL, Day TJ, Liu L, Crosson JC. Federal Investment in Primary Care Transformation: A Systematic Review and Qualitative Analysis. *JAMA Health Forum*. 2025;6(11). doi:10.1001/jamahealthforum.2025.4117
25. Phillips WR, Park J, Topmiller M. Pathways to primary care: charting trajectories from medical school graduation through specialty training. *Health Affairs*. 2025;44(5):580–588. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2024.00893
26. Endalamaw A, Khatri RB, Erku D, et al. Barriers and strategies for primary health care workforce development: synthesis of evidence. *BMC Prim Care*. 2024;25(1):99. doi:10.1186/s12875-024-02336-1
27. Bazemore AW, Petterson SM, McCulloch KK. U.S. primary care workforce growth: a decade of limited progress, and projected needs through 2040. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2025;40(2):339–346. doi:10.1007/s11606-024-09121-x
28. Weinfeld JM, Hart KM, Cammack AP, et al. Specialty disrespect in the medical learning environment: What is known and how can we intervene? A scoping review: BEME review no. 93. *Med Teach*. 2026;48(2):175–184. doi:10.1080/0142159X.2025.2503377
29. Hoffer EP. Primary care in the United States: past, present, and future. *Am J of Med*. 2024;137(8):702–705. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2024.03.012
30. Waldren S, Gerhart J, Liaw W, et al. A family medicine shared vision and road map for AI in primary care. *Ann Fam Med*. 2025;23(5):481–483. doi:10.1370/afm.250510
31. Lucan SC, Phillips RL Jr, Bazemore AW. Off the roadmap? Family medicine's grant funding and committee representation at NIH. *Ann Fam Med*. 2008;6(6):534–542. doi:10.1370/afm.911
32. Cameron BJ, Bazemore AW, Morley CP. Lost in translation: NIH funding for family medicine research remains limited. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2016;29(5):528–530. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2016.05.160063
33. Cameron BJ, Bazemore AW, Morley CP. Federal research funding for family medicine: highly concentrated, with decreasing new investigator awards. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2016;29(5):531–532. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2016.05.160076
34. Indelicato AM, Weidner A, Morley CP. Family medicine: finding its way on the federal research roadmap. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2024;37(Supplement2):S85–S91. doi:10.3122/jabfm.2024.240118R1
35. Huffstetler A, Byun H, Jabbarpour Y. Family medicine research is not a federal priority. *Am Fam Phys*. 2023;108(6):541B–541C.