**BRIEF REPORT** 



## **Virtual Care: Perspectives From Family Physicians**

Olivia Ritchie, MD<sup>a</sup>; Emily Koptyra, BA; Liz B. Marquis, BA<sup>b</sup>; Reema Kadri, MLIS<sup>a</sup>; Anna Laurie, MD<sup>a</sup>; V. G. Vinod Vydiswaran, PhD<sup>b,c</sup>; Jiazhao Li, MS<sup>b</sup>; Lindsay K. Brown, MHI<sup>b</sup>; Tiffany C. Veinot, PhD, MLS<sup>b,c,d</sup>; Lorraine R. Buis, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>; Timothy C. Guetterman, PhD<sup>a</sup>

#### **AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:**

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Family Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
- <sup>b</sup> School of Information, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI
- <sup>c</sup> Department of Learning Health Sciences, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Health Behavior and Health Education, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

#### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Timothy C. Guetterman, Department of Family Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, tguetter@umich.edu

HOW TO CITE: Ritchie O, Koptyra E, Marquis LB, et al. Virtual Care: Perspectives From Family Physicians. *Fam Med.* 2024;56(5):321-324. doi: 10.22454/FamMed.2024.592756

PUBLISHED: 15 April 2024

© Society of Teachers of Family Medicine

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** During the COVID-19 pandemic, virtual care expanded rapidly at Michigan Medicine and other health systems. From family physicians' perspectives, this shift to virtual care has the potential to affect workflow, job satisfaction, and patient communication. As clinics reopened and care delivery models shifted to a combination of in-person and virtual care, the need to understand physician experiences with virtual care arose in order to improve both patient and provider experiences. This study investigated Michigan Medicine family medicine physicians' perceptions of virtual care through qualitative interviews to better understand how to improve the quality and effectiveness of virtual care for both patients and physicians.

**Methods:** We employed a qualitative descriptive design to examine physician perspectives through semistructured interviews. We coded and analyzed transcripts using thematic analysis, facilitated by MAXQDA (VERBI) software.

**Results:** The results of the analysis identified four major themes: (a) chief concerns that are appropriate for virtual evaluation, (b) physician perceptions of patient benefits, (c) focused but contextually enriched patient-physician communication, and (d) structural support needed for high-quality virtual care.

**Conclusions:** These findings can help further direct the discussion of how to make use of resources to improve the quality and effectiveness of virtual care.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The COVID-19 pandemic transformed the health care system as the availability of virtual visits expanded and robust online patient portals developed. Virtual care, which includes video or phone visits, has continued as an alternative to in-person appointments. Virtual care may reduce both the burden on the health care system and exposure to communicable disease; however, potential downsides include the invasion of patient privacy,<sup>1,2</sup> safety incidents that can lead to patient harm,<sup>3</sup> poor fit for chief concerns,<sup>4</sup> and challenges communicating and building rapport.<sup>5–7</sup> Moreover, the benefits are unequal because patients most likely to have cancelled or missed video visits are over age 65,<sup>8</sup> historically minoritized races and ethnicities,<sup>8,9</sup> male,<sup>8</sup> lower income,<sup>9</sup> limited English proficiency, and those with disabilities or multiple comorbidities, <sup>8,9</sup> Single parents, people of lower income, those with disabilities, and work-family conflicts are more likely to experience time poverty and also may have poorer physical and mental health outcomes.<sup>9,10</sup> Research with primary care team members has noted that virtual care resulted in improvements in wait times<sup>11</sup> and an increase in the number of visits related to mental health.<sup>12</sup> The continued use of virtual care has the potential to change the practice of family medicine and the health care system.

Family physicians care for patients of all ages with a variety of concerns and develop long-standing patient-physician relationships. Family physicians are uniquely positioned to assess the role of virtual care for a wide range of chief complaints as well as for its impact on patient-physician relationships. However, little is known regarding family physicians' perspectives on optimizing virtual care.

This study explores physician perspectives to improve the quality and effectiveness of virtual care for both patients and physicians. Unlike literature published as a direct result of the pandemic, this study allowed for investigation into a virtual care system that has evolved since the pandemic began. This study adds possible practice implications and provides future directions for ongoing use of virtual care.

#### **METHODS**

We conducted qualitative semistructured interviews with physicians at Michigan Medicine, a large academic medical

center. The University of Michigan Institutional Review Board approved the study as exempt (HUM00199739).

## Participants

We recruited from two sources, inviting 38 respondents in the Department of Family Medicine to a physician survey (31% response rate)<sup>13</sup> and 47 additional physicians who practiced in clinics serving diverse populations or providing specialized care. Interview invitations were sent in November 2021, approximately 20 months after the World Health Organization declared COVID-19 a pandemic in March 2020,<sup>14</sup> and 16 agreed to participate. Recruitment was guided by purposeful sampling based on two criteria; physicians had to provide virtual care as well as specialized care. These specializations ensured that the study applied to the entire scope of family medicine practice and captured vulnerable populations. All saw general primary care patients.

#### Semistructured Interviews

The interview protocol covered domains of access, uptake, adherence, and efficacy of virtual care. Sample questions included (a) How convenient is virtual care for your patients? (b) How did your patients respond to virtual care? (c) How engaged are your patients during virtual visits? and (d) In what ways do virtual visits make it easier or more difficult to see patients?

## Analysis

All interviews were professionally recorded and transcribed verbatim. Analysis followed an inductive thematic analysis approach.<sup>15</sup> Two researchers (OR and TG) coded two transcripts independently to develop a codebook. Through consensus meetings, they compared codes, revised codes, and refined the codebook for application to the remaining interview transcripts. Next, with the larger research team, they identified themes. We conducted analysis in MAXQDA (VERBI) software.

## RESULTS

Sixteen family medicine physicians, including two residents, participated in the interviews. Most (n=11) were female, and areas of specialization included women's health, deaf health care, Japanese family health, and sports medicine. Four major themes were identified, including (a) chief concerns appropriate for virtual evaluation, (b) physician perceptions of patient benefits, (c) patient-physician communication, and (4) structural support needed for high-quality virtual care.

## **Chief Concerns Evaluated Virtually**

Physicians identified several chief concerns that were appropriately addressed virtually, as well as other concerns best evaluated in person (Table 1). Chief concerns that do not require a physical exam or that can be followed asynchronously (eg, blood pressure or glucose measurement) were considered well-suited for virtual care. In contrast, some acute concerns (eg, gynecologic or respiratory concerns) are best assessed through physical exam, and thus physicians preferred inperson visits. Despite their discomfort addressing some acute concerns virtually, such as possible ear infections, several physicians believed that virtual care is preferrable to no visit.

## **Physician Perceptions of Patient Benefits**

Physicians identified convenience as a patient benefit of virtual care (Table 1). Providing virtual care has the potential to decrease barriers that may prevent patients from accessing care. For example, with virtual care, patients can continue with daily tasks instead of sitting in a waiting room and dealing with issues such as transportation, childcare, or work schedule. Additionally, virtual care is more convenient for caregivers, enabling multiple caregivers to attend visits without being physically present.

# Focused but Contextually Enriched Patient-Physician Communication

Physicians noted that virtual care has enabled new means of communication with patients (Table 1). The ability to have visits outside of the office setting provides a window into a patient's home environment. Physicians also noted that virtual visits are more efficient for providers, because discussions during virtual visits are more focused.

## Structural Support Needed for High-Quality Virtual Care

Physicians identified the need for systems and structures, such as workflows and technical support, to be in place for virtual care to provide high-quality care comparable to in-person visits (Table 2 subthemes).

## DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Family medicine physicians believed that virtual care increases appointment efficiency, while also opening doors for patients who may not be able come to the clinic, perhaps because of physical, travel, or time-related challenges.<sup>10</sup> Increasing visit efficiency and advocating for increased integration of virtual care is especially important in these populations and may contribute to increased health care access.

This study reinforces findings in prior research that seeing patients in their home setting yields contextual insight into a patient's life and allows providers to see their patient as a whole person and not just a set of symptoms.<sup>1,12</sup> Thereby, physicians may be better equipped to address upstream social determinants of health. Including caregivers in the appointment can broaden the patient's network of medical and social support and improve health outcomes.<sup>16</sup>

Virtual care has the potential to increase visit efficiency and improve quality of care.<sup>12,17,18</sup> Physicians in this study identified systems such as triaging,<sup>4</sup> portal enrollment, technical support, and postvisit follow-up as imperative to providing equitable and quality care. Next steps include developing systems to ensure proper follow-up care and identifying which chief concerns are appropriately triaged for virtual visits. Training related to virtual rooming, patient portal registration, and troubleshooting will be necessary. Further studies are needed to clarify how to systematically train and support team members.<sup>19,20</sup>

Theme Subthemes	Summary	Illustrative quotes	
Chief concerns evaluated virtually			
Mental health	Physicians emphasized the benefits of virtual care in patients presenting with mental health concerns.	"I think, in general, behavioral health I feel has been a pretty good avenue for type of patients that might benefit from the virtual visit in terms of just medication management for an SSRI or again an addiction population." (Participant A)	
Chronic disease management	Physicians noted that chronic disease management could be effectively provided in a virtual setting. Examples of chronic conditions well-suited to virtual care included hypertension (if the patient has access to a validated blood pressure cuff), diabetes, and asthma.	"Chronic medical care that's ongoing is something that works well virtually mood, high blood pressure, diabetes, even congestive heart failure if somebody's doing well." (Participant B)	
Acute concerns	Physicians noted that acute concerns are often challenging to evaluate virtually. Trying to assess these concerns without a physical exam can lead to discomfort with diagnosis. This situation can result in escalation of care, recommendation to present to urgent care or emergency department, or a delay in care until an in-person visit can be scheduled.	"The barrier to this is when I need a physical exam and it really has a great impact on how good my care is. I have to kind of presume the worst. In the height of the pandemic virtual world, I was giving antibiotics for ear infections that I typically wouldn't do coming in for cough and I can't listen to your lungs." (Participant C)	
Physician perceptions of patient benefits			
Patient benefits	Virtual care is often more convenient for patients, who save travel time and have work or family responsibilities to manage.	"Imagine if you're a patient, you have to drive to the office a half hour, whatever it is, park, walk in, sit in a waiting room with a bunch of other people, maybe be exposed to things, maybe not, get put in a room, wait a while longer, and then drive home again But with the virtual visit, at least they're home." (Participant D). "The access and ability to have people attend visits, especially with childcare or other things that may not allow them to drive and have access to the office visit, is really superior." (Participant B).	
Caregiver involvement	Caregivers' involvement can be easier and more convenient.	"My palliative care patients have a huge amount of barriers to access. It's hard for them to leave their home. Gosh, you name it, they probably got it. But I can incorporate a lot of people in my visits this way. I can share the link. I can have family visits with people who are not in the same household. I can have their caregivers be present easily with me and multiple caregivers." (Participant C).	
Focused but contextually enriched physician-patient communication			
Physician-patient relationship in virtual care	Physicians noted that virtual care can alter the means of communication and interaction with patients	"So when patients are driving, they tend to want to take up a little bit more time, whereas if they're in their own environment, 'I'm checking in with [my doctor], but I have other things going on and I'm taking time out of my workday, or I'm taking out of my home day.' We're just going to hit on the things that we absolutely have to. We're not going to chit chat." (Participant E) "We actually get a window into patient's homes. We see them where they are a lot of times, and we can kind of see their environment, which is a good thing." (Participant D).	

#### **TABLE 1.** Themes and Subthemes

Abbreviation: SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

Limitations of this study include its completion in a single department at an academic medical center with mostly urban and suburban settings. Physicians' perception of patient reaction may differ from actual patient experience; study of patient experiences is needed. Comparison of provider and patient perspectives of virtual care may help to identify mismatches in expectations and goals. Future research is needed in different health care settings such as community health centers, office-based practices, and rural areas.

#### **FUNDING**

Dr Veinot's work on this research was supported, in part, by an unrestricted gift from Google Health. In addition, a University of Michigan, Department of Family Medicine Building Block grant provided funding for this study.

#### **REFERENCES**

 Spiess ST, Gardner E, Turner C. We cannot put this genie back in the bottle: qualitative interview study among family medicine providers about their experiences with virtual visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Med Internet Res.

Subthemes	Illustrative quotes	Possible practice implications
Appropriate triaging: selecting types of visits that are appropriate for a virtual visit	"In 2020, we were not very good at determining what [was] an appropriate in-person visit versus what was appropriate for virtual And I would say the incidence of inappropriate virtual visits has gotten a lot less as we learned how to screen those." (Participant F)	To set physicians and patients up for success, there needs to be clear training and systems to identify what chief concerns are appropriate for a virtual visit, and who needs to be seen in person. Inappropriate triaging can lead to further delay of care.
Rooming patient in a virtual setting: gathering information prior to physician visit (eg, medication reconciliation, pending orders/best practice orders)	" having somebody call the patient in the morning and get everything done that needs to get done so that the chief complaint is in there; whatever rooming stuff that normally gets done, gets done, is incredibly helpful. When that doesn't happen, it really makes everything much more difficult." (Participant G)	Virtual care should use clinical staff to complete all of the same rooming that is done prior to an in-person visit, such as questionnaires and medication review.
Patient follow-up: scheduling a follow-up appointment, providing referral information, etc	"So, after a real-time encounter, in-person encounter, that patient checks out [in] front. They schedule an appointment for follow-up. I can make sure the nurses are doing any education that's needed. And when it's virtual, our staff has a similar process to making sure that the patient gets a follow-up appointment." (Participant H)	Unlike the in-person setting, the patient does not walk over to check out for the clinical staff to ensure they have follow-up appointments and information for referrals. A clear system of follow-up with the patient is needed after a virtual visit.
Technical support: services needed to help patient with any technical difficulties or challenges	"I would say make sure you have a platform that patients could access widely. So whether that's through the patient portal that you can enroll patients in and that you have a support system to enroll patients." (Participant H)	Creating a system to enroll patients in the portal and support them with registration is essential. Having designated staff to help troubleshoot this process is imperative to breaking down barriers to virtual care.

#### TABLE 2. Subthemes of Structural Support Needed for High-Quality Virtual Care

2023;25:43877.

- 2. Shaver J. The state of telehealth before and after the COVID-19 pandemic. *Prim Care.* 2022;49(4):517-530.
- 3. Payne R, Clarke A, Swann N. Patient safety in remote primary care encounters: multimethod qualitative study combining Safety I and Safety II analysis. *BMJ Qual Saf*:2023-2023.
- 4. Gold KJ, Laurie AR, Kinney DR, Harmes KM, Serlin DC. Video visits: family physician experiences with uptake during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Fam Med.* 2021;53(3):207-210.
- 5. Wieringa S, Neves AL, Rushforth A. Safety implications of remote assessments for suspected COVID-19: qualitative study in UK primary care. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2023;32:732-741.
- Björndell C, Premberg Å. Physicians' experiences of video consultation with patients at a public virtual primary care clinic: a qualitative interview study. Scand J Prim Health Care. 2021;39:67-76.
- 7. Ceniti AK, Abdelmoemin WR, Ho K. One degree of separation": a mixed-methods evaluation of Canadian mental health care user and provider experiences with remote care during COVID-19. *Can J Psychiatry*. 2022;67(9):712–722.
- 8. Buis LR, Brown LK, Plegue MA. Identifying inequities in video and audio telehealth services for primary care encounters during COVID-19: repeated cross-sectional, observational study. J Med Internet Res. 2023;25:49804.
- 9. Lau K, Anand P, Ramirez A, Phicil S. Disparities in telehealth use during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2022;24(6):590-591.
- 10. Strazdins L, Welsh J, Korda R, Broom D, Paolucci F. Not all hours are equal: could time be a social determinant of health? Sociol Health Illn. 2016;38:21-42. .
- 11. Donnelly C, Ashcroft R, Bobbette N. Interprofessional primary care during COVID-19: a survey of the provider perspective.

BMC Fam Pract. 2021;22(1):31.

- Norberg BL, Getz LO, Johnsen TM, Austad B, Zanaboni P. General practitioners' experiences with potentials and pitfalls of video consultations in Norway during the COVID-19 lockdown: qualitative analysis of free-text survey answers. J Med Internet Res. 2023;25:45812.
- Guetterman TC, Koptyra E, Ritchie O. Equity in virtual care: a mixed methods study of perspectives from physicians. J Telemed Telecare. 2023.
- 14. CDC museum COVID-19 timeline. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2023.

https://www.cdc.gov/museum/timeline/covid19.html.

- 15. Braun V, Clarke V, Cooper H, et al. Thematic analysis. APA Handbook of Research Methods in Psychology;2:57-71.
- 16. Leykum LK, Penney LS, Dang S. Recommendations to improve health outcomes through recognizing and supporting caregivers. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2022;37(5):265-266.
- Vosburg RW, Robinson KA, Gao C, Kim JJ. Patient and provider satisfaction with telemedicine in a comprehensive weight management program. *Telemed J E Health*. 2022;28(3):384-390.
- Nanda M, Sharma R. A review of patient satisfaction and experience with telemedicine: a virtual solution during and beyond COVID-19 pandemic. *Telemed J E Health*. 2021;27(12):325-326.
- Chike-Harris KE, Durham C, Logan A, Smith G, Dubose-Morris R. Integration of telehealth education into the health care provider curriculum: a review. *Telemed J E Health*. 2021;27(2):137-149.
- 20. Jonas CE, Durning SJ, Zebrowski C, Cimino F. An interdisciplinary, multi-institution telehealth course for third-year medical students. *Acad Med.* 2019;94(6):833-837.