



Professional Development Needs of Clerkship Directors

Suzanne Minor, MD^a; Kristen Hood Watson, MD^b; Rodolfo Bonnin, PhD^a; Suzanne Perkins, MPH^b; Vanessa Diaz, MD^b; Joanna Drowos, DO, MBA^c

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS:

- ^a Florida International University Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, University Park, FL
- b Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC
- ^c Florida Atlantic University Charles E. Schmidt College of Medicine, Boca Raton, FL

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Suzanne Minor, Florida International University Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, University Park, FL, seminor@fiu.edu

HOW TO CITE: Minor S, Watson KH, Bonnin R, Perkins S, Diaz V, Drowos J. Professional Development Needs of Clerkship Directors. *Fam Med*. 2023;55(X):0-0.

doi: 10.22454/FamMed.2023.310804

© Society of Teachers of Family Medicine

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Successful clerkship directors (CDs) must exhibit a variety of leadership, administrative, educational, and interpersonal skills. This study investigates the professional development needs for family medicine CDs to be successful in their role, in relation to career stage, institutional support, and needed resources.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey of CDs at qualifying United States and Canadian medical schools was performed between April 29, 2021 and May 28, 2021. Questions addressed specific training when beginning CD position, professional development activities that have contributed to success, additional professional development skills required to be a successful CD, and planned future development activities. We utilized χ^2 square and Mann-Whitney U tests for comparisons.

Results: Surveys were completed by 75 CDs, for a response rate of 48.8%. Only 33.3% of respondents reported receiving training specific to their role as CD. The majority of respondents cited informal mentoring and conference attendance as important to their professional development, but none identified graduate degrees as the most important method of professional development.

Conclusions: These findings demonstrate the lack of formal training provided to CDs and highlight the importance of informal training and conference attendance for professional development.

INTRODUCTION

Succeeding in the role of clerkship director (CD) requires developing unique skills. In 1998, a series of foundational CD skills in internal medicine were described to assure educational and administrative clerkship quality. In 2003, the Alliance for Clinical Education (ACE) categorized needed CD skills as administrative, teaching, or scholarship and added competencies including clerkship vision, clinical supervision and classroom teaching experience, enthusiasm about students, and viewing undergraduate training as central to career development. 2

In 2020, additional skills identified included motivating, recruiting, managing, and developing faculty; applying education theory and research skills³; and overseeing curriculum development, evaluations, grades, remediation, scheduling, mentoring, clinical care, and budget management.⁴ ACE further refined CD roles in 2021, adding CD oversight of clerkship administrator performance and program evaluation and implementing school-wide initiatives.⁵ A 2021 article on developing family medicine (FM) faculty highlighted a multipronged approach to develop educational skills including feedback, curriculum development, and quality improvement.⁶

Less is known about how CDs prepare for their roles. Wilson and Sairenji proposed that skills to develop educators be taught during residency.6 FM department chairs cited mentorship (not sponsorship or coaching) as the most frequently used developmental tool to support faculty. 7 Of emergency medicine CDs surveyed, 47% had formal preparation for their role (with 36% receiving brief training from senior faculty and 11% extensive mentorship) while 10% received a written job description and another 10% received a clerkship guide/handbook.8 Wald et al did not define formal faculty development, however in our study it was defined as structured faculty development offerings through formal programs with examples such as Harvard Macy Program(s), University of North Carolina Faculty Development Fellowship, or various programs through the Society of Teachers of Family Medicine (STFM). Attending development activities, including national medical education meetings, and discipline-based continuing medical education courses requires protected time and financial support.2 This study describes professional development experienced and valued by family medicine (FM) CDs for success in the role, and has not been described in previous literature.

METHODS

Data were collected through the 2021 cross–sectional Council of Academic Family Medicine's Educational Research Alliance (CERA) annual survey of FM CDs. In 2021, 147 US and 16 Canadian FM educators from accredited medical schools were emailed the survey between April 29, 2021 and May 28, 2021. Respondents specified the number of years as faculty and as CDs, we set 8 years (\leq 8 or >8yrs) as the demarcation for status (junior faculty/senior faculty and junior CD/senior CD) in alignment with vernacular used by the Association of American Medical Colleges criteria for participation in the Early Career Women Faculty Leadership Seminar.

We compared demographics using univariate analysis. We ranked and analyzed professional development needs using SPSS v25 software. We employed Mann-Whitney U test to compare professional development activities and skills to faculty status, time as CD, and gender. The American Academy of Family Physicians Institutional Review Board approved the study.

RESULTS

Seventy-five of 160 CDs (48.8% response rate) completed the CERA questionnaire (Table 1).

Most CDs (66.7%) noted not receiving specific training upon assuming their clerkship position. Valued activities and skills are listed in Tables 2 and 3. Among professional development activities experienced during their tenure as clerkship director, 72% of participants ranked informal mentoring, defined as peer mentoring or mentoring outside of structured/formal program, as contributing greatly to their success. Senior CDs with more than 8 years of experience ranked formal faculty development and informal mentoring equally high (35.0%), while junior CDs, ranked informal mentoring highest (47.3%) with formal faculty development third (12.7%).

Respondents ranked curriculum development as the skill most needing development (58.7%). Conference attendance (34.7%) and formal faculty development programs (25.0%) are the professional development activities most desired for future pursuit (Table 5).

No statistically significant differences were identified when comparing preceptor payment status, faculty status, time as CD, or gender.

DISCUSSION

While FM CDs value professional development to succeed, 66.7% reported receiving no training upon assuming their clerkship position, despite the importance and complexity of this role. This is consistent with the experience of emergency medicine CDs. ¹¹ The lack of structured training supports CDs ranking informal mentoring as important for obtaining professional development. Informal mentoring is prioritized by faculty despite lacking protected time for its pursuit. Institutions should prioritize cultivating mentoring skills to support informal mentoring. ^{12–14}

TABLE 1. Clerkship Director Demographics

| Demographic | | % (n) |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------|
| Medical School Public or Private | Public | 65.3 (49) |
| | Private | 34.7 (26) |
| | | |
| Gender Identity | Cisgender female | 56.8 (43) |
| | Cisgender male | 37.4 (28) |
| | Transgender male | 1.4 (1) |
| | Choose not to disclose | 4.1 (3) |
| | | |
| Ethnicity | Not Hispanic or Latino | 95.9 (72) |
| | Hispanic or Latino | 4.1 (3) |
| | | |
| Race | White | 76.0 (57) |
| | Black | 8.0 (6) |
| | Asian | 13.3 (10) |
| | Other (American Indian, Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian, other Pacific Islander) | 2.7 (2) |
| | | |
| Faculty Status | Junior | 23.6 (18) |
| | Senior | 76.4 (57) |
| | | |
| Clerkship Director Status | Junior | 73.3 (55) |
| | Senior | 26.7 (20) |
| | Total Respondents | 100 (75) |

Conference attendance was ranked highly both as contributing to success and as a desired next development activity (Table 2). This highlights the need for protected time and funding for conference attendance to support skill development and relationship building. Obtaining a graduate degree was not rated as the most important professional activity by any respondents, though 17.3% of respondents ranked it as second through fourth most important (when asked to rank their four most important professional development activities; Table 2). Given the time and financial investment required, we expected greater perceived benefit.

While junior and senior CDs ranked curriculum development as valuable to develop (32.7% and 20.0%, respectively), future development needs varied slightly between junior and senior faculty. Junior faculty seek to develop "knowledge of national, school-wide, and department-specific curricular goals," "providing formative evaluation and feedback and student remediation," and "assuring consistency in preceptor assessment across sites" equally (17.6% each), while senior faculty ranked "curriculum development" as valuable to develop (Table 4). This may relate to senior CDs predetermining

TABLE 2. Professional Development Activities Completed and Valued by Clerkship Directors

Professional Development Activities Completed and Valued by Clerkship Directors

| Activity | Informal Mentoring | Conference Attendance | Trainings, Faculty Develop- ment, or Formal Mentoring | Academic Society Interest groups or Collabora- tive | Formal Faculty Develop- ment Programs | Literature | Graduate Degree | No Activities Have Been Helpful | Haven't Partici- pated in Any of These |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| % of Respon- dents Who Ranked Category (n) | 72.0% (54) | 68.0% (51) | 65.3% (49) | | 42.7% (32) | 34.7% (26) | 17.3% (13) | 6.7% (5) | 4.0% (3) |

^{*}Due to the sample size, nonparametric tests were used to analyze the data.

TABLE 3. Professional Development Activities Completed and Valued by Faculty and Clerkship Directors (Ranked)

| Junior Fact | ılty (≤8yrs, ı | n=17) | Senior Fa | culty (>8yrs, n | ı=55) | Junior Cler n=55) | kship Directo | r (≤8yrs, | Senior Cler | kship Directo | or (>8yrs, n=20) |
|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 st % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 2nd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 3rd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 1st % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 2nd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 3rd % who ranked option as #1(n) | 1st % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 2nd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 3rd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 1st % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 2nd % who ranked option as #1 (n) | 3rd % who ranked option as #1 (n) |
| Informal mentor- ing, 35.2% (6) | Formal faculty develop- ment, 17.6% (3) | Trainings, faculty development, or formal mentoring, 17.6% (3) | Informal men- tor- ing, 47.3% (26) | Formal faculty develop- ment, 18.2% (10) | Conference atten- dance, 18.2% (10) | Informal mentor- ing, 47.3% (26) | Conference atten- dance, 20.0% (11) | Formal faculty develop-ment, 12.7% (7) | Formal faculty develop- ment, 35.0% (7) | Informal mentor- ing, 35.0% (7) | Trainings, faculty development, or formal mentoring, 5.7% (1) |

^{*}Due to the sample size, nonparametric tests were used to analyze the data.

clerkship direction focusing instead on innovation and refining course content, and thus valuing the importance of curriculum development. Curriculum development is an advanced skill requiring overall program management and enhancing scholarship productivity. Newer CDs may instead focus on the big picture or may have learned curriculum development skills in residency.

Key limitations of this study include a 48.8% response rate as well as the CERA methodology limiting the format and number of questions allowed. Results presented may not be representative of all CDs. Areas for further study include investigating specific skills gained from professional development methods, additional specialty CD needs, specific formal

and conference faculty professional development available, institutional professional development training requirements, institutional professional development resources, and support for dedicated off-site training. Further study may also delineate which skills might be learned through informal mentoring or through formal faculty development offerings.

The CD role requires onboarding and continued professional development. Based on our findings, we recommend medical schools prioritize protected time for conference attendance, informal mentoring, and curriculum development trainings for CDs while identifying strategic development opportunities for CDs.

 $[\]chi^2$ test was employed to identify differences between preceptor payment by skills needed for managing sites/faculty/students/logistics, and assuring preceptor consistency.

Mann-Whitney U test was employed to compare professional development activities and skills to faculty status, CD status, and gender. All analysis was conducted using SPSS v25 and were found to be not significant.

 $[\]chi^2$ test was employed to identify differences between preceptor payment by skills needed for managing sites/faculty/students/logistics, and assuring preceptor consistency.

Mann-Whitney U test was employed to compare professional development activities and skills to faculty status, CD status, and gender. All analysis was conducted using SPSS v25 and were found to be not significant.

TABLE 4. Professional Development Skills Valued by Clerkship Directors

| Professional 1 | Development Sk | Professional Development Skills Valued by Clerkship Directors | kship Directors | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| Professional Skill | Professional Development | Curriculum Development | Managing Clerkship Sites | Assuring Consis- tency in Precep- tor Assess- ment Across Sites | Providing Formative Evaluation and Feedback and Student Remedia- tion | Providing Overall Vision of the Clerkship | Knowledge of National, School- wide, and Department- specific Curricular Goals | Providing Advising and/or Career Guidance | Demonstrating Enthusiasm for Teaching Undergrad- uate Medical Student | مه | |
| % of Respondent: Ranked Category (n) | % of Respondents Who Ranked Category (n) | 58.7% (44) | 56.0% (42) | 56.0% (42) | 46.7% (35) | 42.7% (32) | 34.7% (26) | 17.3% (13) | 6.7% (5) | | |
| Junior Faculty (n=17) | / (n=17) | | Senior Faculty (n=55) | (n=55) | | Junior Clerksl | Junior Clerkship Director (n=55) | 55) | Senior Clerks | Senior Clerkship Director (n=20) | 20) |
| 1°t % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 2 nd % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 3 rd % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 1st % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 2 nd % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 3 rd % Who Ranked Option as #1(n) | 1st% Who Ranked Option as #1(n) | 2^{nd} % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 3 rd % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 1st % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) | 2 nd % Who Ranked Option as #1(n) | 3°4 % Who Ranked Option as #1 (n) |
| Knowledge of national, school-wide, and department-specific curricular goals, 17.6% (3) | Providing formative evaluation and feedback and student remediation, 17.6% | Assuring consistency in preceptor assessment across sites, 17.6% (3) | Curriculum develop- ment, 38.1% (21) | Providing ing overall vision of the clerkship, 18.2% (10) | Managing clerkships, 14.5% (8) | Curriculum develop- ment, 32.7% (18) | Providing overall vision of the clerkship, 16.3% (9) | Managing clerkship sites 12.7% (7) | Curriculum develop- ment 20.0% (4) | Managing clerkship sites 15.0% (3) | Assuring consistency in preceptor assessment across sites 15.0% (3) |

TABLE 5. Future Training Plans of Clerkship Directors

| | Activity | % (n) |
|--|--|-----------|
| Professional Development Activity Most Interested in Pursuing Next | Conference attendance | 34.7 (25) |
| | Formal faculty development program | 25.0 (18) |
| | Training/formal mentoring | 9.7 (7) |
| | Academic groups/collaborative | 6.9 (5) |
| | Graduate degree | 5.6 (4) |
| | Informal mentoring | 5.6 (4) |
| | Literature | 5.6 (4) |
| | I don't plan any further professional development | 4.2 (3) |
| | None of the above | 2.8 (2) |
| | Total Respondents | 100 (72) |

REFERENCES

- 1. Pangaro LN. Expectations of and for the medicine clerkship director. *Am J Med.* 1998;105(5):363-365.
- Pangaro L, Bachicha J, Brodkey A. Alliance for Clinical Education. Expectations of and for clerkship directors: a collaborative statement from the Alliance for Clinical Education. *Teach Learn Med.* 2003;15(3):217–222.
- 3. Dallaghan GLB, Ledford CH, Ander D. Evolving roles of clerkship directors: have expectations changed? *Med Educ Online.* 2020;25(1):1714201-1714201.
- 4. Glod SA, Alexandraki I, Jasti H. Clerkship roles and responsibilities in a rapidly changing landscape: a national survey of internal medicine clerkship directors. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2020;35(5):1375–1381.
- Morgenstern BZ, Roman B, Dewaay D. Expectations of and for clerkship directors 2.0: a collaborative statement from the Alliance for Clinical Education. *Teach Learn Med*. 2021;33(4):343-354.
- Wilson SA, Sairenji T. Recruiting, developing, and supporting family medicine faculty for the future: three "T's" to enable achieving the additional "C" required of family medicine educators. Fam Med. 2021;53(7):644-646.

- 7. Seehusen DA, Rogers TS, Achkar A, Chang M, T. Coaching, mentoring, and sponsoring as career development tools. *Fam Med.* 2021;53(3):175–180.
- 8. Wald DA, Khandelwal S, Manthey DE, Way DP, Ander DS, Thibodeau L. Emergency medicine clerkship directors: current workforce. *West J Emerg Med.* 2014;15(4):398-403.
- 9. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows. IBM Corp. 2017.
- 10. Early Career Women Faculty Leadership Development Seminar. Association of American Medical Colleges. 2022. https://www.aamc.org/professional-development/leadership-development/ewims.
- 11. Coates WC, Gill AM, Jordan R. Emergency medicine clerkship directors: defining the characteristics of the workforce. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2005;45(3):262–268.
- 12. King SM, Richards J, Murray AM. Informal faculty development in health professions education: identifying opportunities in everyday practice. *Med Teach*. 2021;43(8):874-878.
- 13. Leslie K, Lingard L, Whyte S. Junior faculty experiences with informal mentoring. *Med Teach.* 2005;27(8):693-698.
- 14. Palepu A, Friedman RH, Barnett RC. Junior faculty members' mentoring relationships and their professional development in U.S. medical schools. *Acad Med.* 1998;73(3):318–323.